

5

10

15

20

25

**SYNTHESIS OF EPOTHILONES,  
INTERMEDIATES THERETO, ANALOGUES AND USES THEREOF**

This application is based on U.S. Provisional Applications Serial Nos. 60/032,282,  
60/033,767, 60/047,566, 60/047,941, and 60/055,533, filed December 3, 1996, January 14,  
30 1997, May 22, 1997, May 29, 1997, and August 13, 1997, respectively, the contents of which  
are hereby incorporated by reference into this application. This invention was made with  
government support under grants CA-28824, CA-39821, CA-GM 72231, CA-62948, and AI0-  
9355 from the National Institutes of Health, and grant CHE-9504805 from the National  
Science Foundation.

35

**Field of the Invention**

The present invention is in the field of epothilone macrolides. In particular,  
the present invention relates to processes for the preparation of epothilones A and B,  
desoxyepothilones A and B, and analogues thereof which are useful as highly specific, non-  
40 toxic anticancer therapeutics. In addition, the invention provides methods of inhibiting  
multidrug resistant cells. The present invention also provides novel compositions of matter  
which serve as intermediates for preparing the epothilones.

Throughout this application, various publications are referred to, each of  
which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety into this application to more fully  
45 describe the state of the art to which the invention pertains.

**Background of the Invention**

Epothilones A and B are highly active anticancer compounds isolated from  
the Myxobacteria of the genus *Sorangium*. The full structures of these compounds, arising  
50 from an x-ray crystallographic analysis were determined by Höfle. G. Höfle *et al.*, *Angew.*  
*Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1996**, *35*, 1567. The total synthesis of the epothilones is an important

goal for several reasons. Taxol is already a useful resource in chemotherapy against ovarian and breast cancer and its range of clinical applicability is expanding. G.I. Georg et al., *Taxane Anticancer Agents*; American Cancer Society: San Diego, **1995**. The mechanism of the cytotoxic action of taxol, at least at the *in vitro* level, involves stabilization of microtubule assemblies. P.B. Schiff et al., *Nature (London)*, **1979**, 277, 665. A series of complementary *in vitro* investigations with the epothilones indicated that they share the mechanistic theme of the taxoids, possibly down to the binding sites to their protein target. D.M. Bollag et al., *Cancer Res.*, **1995**, 55, 2325. Moreover, the epothilones surpass taxol in terms of cytotoxicity and far surpass it in terms of *in vitro* efficacy against drug resistant cells. Since multiple drug resistance (MDR) is one of the serious limitations of taxol (L.M. Landino and T.L. MacDonald in *The Chemistry and Pharmacology of Taxol and its Derivatives*, V. Farin, Ed., Elsevier: New York, **1995**, ch. 7, p. 301), any agent which promises relief from this problem merits serious attention. Furthermore, formulating the epothilones for clinical use is more straightforward than taxol.

Accordingly, the present inventors undertook the total synthesis of the epothilones, and as a result, have developed efficient processes for synthesizing epothilones A and B, the corresponding desoxyepothilones, as well as analogues thereof. The present invention also provides novel intermediates useful in the synthesis of epothilones A and B and analogues thereof, compositions derived from such epothilones and analogues, purified compounds of epothilones A and B, and desoxyepothilones A and B, in addition to methods of use of the epothilone analogues in the treatment of cancer. Unexpectedly, certain epothilones have been found to be effective not only in reversing multi-drug resistance in cancer cells, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, but have been determined to be active as collateral sensitive agents, which are more cytotoxic towards MDR cells than normal cells, and as synergistic agents, which are more active in combination with other cytotoxic agents, such as vinblastin, than the individual drugs would be alone at the same concentrations. Remarkably, the desoxyepothilones of the invention have exceptionally high specificity as tumor cytotoxic agents *in vivo*, more effective and less toxic to normal cells than the principal chemotherapeutics currently in use, including taxol, vinblastin, adriamycin and camptothecin.

#### **Summary of the Invention**

One object of the present invention is to provide processes for the preparation of epothilones A and B, and desoxyepothilones A and B, and related compounds useful as anticancer therapeutics. Another object of the present invention is to provide various compounds useful as intermediates in the preparation of epothilones A and B as well as analogues thereof.

A further object of the present invention is to provide synthetic methods for

preparing such intermediates. An additional object of the invention is to provide compositions useful in the treatment of subjects suffering from cancer comprising any of the analogues of the epothilones available through the preparative methods of the invention optionally in combination with pharmaceutical carriers.

- 5                   A further object of the invention is to provide methods of treating subjects suffering from cancer using any of the analogues of the epothilones available through the preparative methods of the invention optionally in combination with pharmaceutical carriers.

## 10    Brief Description of the Drawings

**Figure 1(A)** shows a retrosynthetic analysis for epothilone A and B.

- Figure 1(B)** provides synthesis of compound **11**. (a)  $t\text{-BuMe}_2\text{OTf}$ , 2,6-lutidine,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 98%; (b) (1) DDQ,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 89%; (2)  $(\text{COCl})_2$ , DMSO,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ ; then  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$ ,  $-78^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ , 90%; (c)  $\text{MeOCH}_2\text{PPh}_3\text{Cl}$ ,  $t\text{-BuOK}$ , THF,  $0^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ , 86%; (d) (1)  $p\text{-TsOH}$ , dioxane/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $50^\circ\text{C}$ , 99%; (2)  $\text{CH}_3\text{PPh}_3\text{Br}$ , NaHMDS,  $\text{PhCH}_3$ ,  $0^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ , 76%; (e)  $\text{PhI}(\text{OCOCF}_3)_2$ , MeOH/THF, rt, 0.25 h, 92%.
- 15

**Figure 2** provides key intermediates in the preparation of 12,13-*E*- and -*Z*-deoxyepothilones.

- 20                   **Figure 3(A)** provides syntheses of key iodinated intermediates used to prepare hydroxymethylene- and hydroxypropylene-substituted epothilone derivatives.

- Figure 3(B)** provides methods of preparing hydroxymethylene- and hydroxypropylene-substituted epothilone derivatives, said methods being useful generally to prepare 12,13-*E* epothilones wherein R is methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, and n-hexyl from the corresponding *E*-vinyl iodides.
- 25

- Figure 3(B)** shows reactions leading to benzoylated hydroxymethyl-substituted desoxyepothilone and hydroxymethylene-substituted epothilone (epoxide).
- 30

- Figure 4(A)** provides synthesis of compound **19**. (a) DHP, PPTS,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , rt; (b) (1)  $\text{Me}_3\text{SiCCl}_2$ ,  $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ , THF,  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ ; (2) MOMCl,  $i\text{-Pr}_2\text{NEt}$ ,  $\text{Cl}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$ ,  $55^\circ\text{C}$ ; (3) PPTS, MeOH, rt; (c) (1)  $(\text{COCl})_2$ , DMSO,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ ; then  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$ ,  $-78^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ ; (2)  $\text{MeMgBr}$ ,  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ ,  $0^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ ; (3) TPAP, NMO,  $4\text{\AA}$  mol. sieves,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $0^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ ; (d) **16**,  $n\text{-BuLi}$ , THF,  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ ; then **15**, THF,  $-78^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow \text{rt}$ ; (e) (1) N-iodosuccinimide,  $\text{AgNO}_3$ ,  $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CO}$ ; (2)  $\text{Cy}_2\text{BH}$ ,  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ , AcOH; (f) (1)  $\text{PhSH}$ ,  $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , rt; (2)  $\text{Ac}_2\text{O}$ , pyridine, 4-DMAP,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , rt.
- 35

**Figure 4(B)** presents synthesis of compound **1**. (a) **11**, 9-BBN, THF, rt; then PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf)<sub>2</sub>, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Ph<sub>3</sub>As, H<sub>2</sub>O, DMF, **19**, rt, 71%; (b) p-TsOH, dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O, 50°C; (c) KHMDS, THF, -78°C, 51%; (d) (1) HF-pyridine, pyridine, THF, rt, 97%; (2) t-BuMe<sub>2</sub> SiOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C, 93%; (3) Dess-Martin periodinane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 87%; (4) HF-pyridine, THF, rt, 99%; (e) dimethyldioxirane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 h, -50°C, 45% ( $\geq 20$ : **1**).

**Figure 5** shows a scheme of the synthesis of the “left wing” of epothilone A.

**Figure 6** provides a scheme of an olefin metathesis route to epothilone A and other analogues.

**Figure 7** illustrates a convergent strategy for a total synthesis of epothilone A (**1**) and the glycal cyclopropane solvolysis strategy for the introduction of geminal methyl groups.

**Figure 8** provides an enantioselective synthesis of compound **15B**.

**Figure 9** shows the construction of epothilone model systems **20B**, **21B**, and **22B** by ring-closing olefin metathesis.

**Figure 10** illustrates a sedimentation test for natural, synthetic and desoxyepothilone A.

**Figure 11** illustrates a sedimentation test for natural, synthetic and desoxyepothilone A after cold treatment at 4°C.

**Figure 12** illustrates (A) structures of epothilones A (**1**) and B (**2**) and (B) of Taxol™ (**1A**).

**Figure 13** shows a method of elaborating acyclic stereochemical relationships based on dihydropyrone matrices.

**Figure 14** shows the preparation of intermediate **4A**.

**Figure 15** shows an alternative enantioselective synthesis of compound **17A**.

**Figure 16** provides a synthetic pathway to intermediate **13C**. (a) 1. tributyl allyltin, (S)-(-)-BINOL, Ti(Oi-Pr)<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -20 °C, 60%, >95% e.e.; 2. Ac<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 95%; (b) 1. OsO<sub>4</sub>, NMO, acetone/H<sub>2</sub>O, 0°C; 2. NaIO<sub>4</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O; (c) **12**, THF, -20 °C, Z isomer only, 25% from **10**; (d) Pd(dppf)<sub>2</sub>, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Ph<sub>3</sub>As, H<sub>2</sub>O, DMF, rt. 77%.

**Figure 17** provides a synthetic pathway to intermediate epothilone B (**2**). (a) *p*-TsOH, dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O, 55 °C, 71%; (b) KHMDS, THF, -78 °C, 67%,  $\alpha/\beta$ : 1.5:1; (c) Dess-Martin periodinane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (d) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, 67% for two steps; (e) 1. HF-pyridine, pyridine, THF, rt, 93%; 2. TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -30 °C, 89%; 3. Dess-Martin periodinane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 67%; (f) HF-pyridine, THF, rt, 80%; (g) dimethyldioxirane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -50 °C, 70%.

**Figure 18** provides a synthetic pathway to a protected intermediate for 8-desmethyl deoxyepothilone A.

**Figure 19** provides a synthetic pathway to 8-desmethyl deoxyepothilone A, and structures of *trans*-8-desmethyl-desoxyepothilone A and a *trans*-iodoolefin intermediate thereto.

**Figure 20** shows (top) structures of epothilones A and B and 8-desmethylepothilone and (bottom) a synthetic pathway to intermediate TBS ester **10** used in the preparation of desmethylepothilone A. (a) (*Z*)-Crotyl-B[(-)-lpc]<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C, Et<sub>2</sub>O, then 3N NaOH, 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; (b) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (74% for two steps, 87% ee); (c) O<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, -78 °C, then DMS, (82%); (d) *t*-butyl isobutyrylacetate, NaH, BuLi, 0 °C, then **6** (60%, 10:1); (e) Me<sub>4</sub>NBH(OAc)<sub>3</sub>, -10 °C (50%, 10:1  $\alpha/\beta$ ) or NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, THF, 0 °C, (88%, 1:1  $\alpha/\beta$ ); (f) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, -40 °C, (88%); (g) Dess-Martin periodinane, (90%); (h) Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, EtOH (96%); (i) DMSO, oxalyl chloride, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C (78%); (j) Methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide, NaHMDS, THF, 0 °C (85%); (k) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt (87%).

**Figure 21** shows a synthetic pathway to 8-desmethylepothilone A. (a) Pd(dppf)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, Ph<sub>3</sub>As, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, DMF, rt (62%); (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, H<sub>2</sub>O (78%); (c) DCC, 4-DMAP, 4-DMAP·HCl, CHCl<sub>3</sub> (78%); (d) HF-pyr, THF, rt (82%); (e) 3,3-dimethyl dioxirane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -35 °C (72%, 1.5:1).

**Figure 22** shows a synthetic pathway to prepare epothilone analogue **27D**.

**Figure 23** shows a synthetic pathway to prepare epothilone analogue **24D**.

**Figure 24** shows a synthetic pathway to prepare epothilone analogue **19D**.

**Figure 25** shows a synthetic pathway to prepare epothilone analogue **20D**.

**Figure 26** shows a synthetic pathway to prepare epothilone analogue **22D**.

**Figure 27** shows a synthetic pathway to prepare epothilone analogue 12-hydroxy ethyl-epothilone.

5 **Figure 28** shows the activity of epothilone analogues in a sedimentation test in comparison with DMSO, epothilone A and/or B. Structures 17-20, 22, and 24-27 are shown in Figures 29-37, respectively. Compounds were added to tubulin (1mg/ml) to a concentration of 10  $\mu$ M. The quantity of microtubules formed with epothilone A was defined as 100%.

10 **Figure 29** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #17.

**Figure 30** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #18.

**Figure 31** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #19.

15 **Figure 32** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #20.

**Figure 33** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #22.

20 **Figure 34** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #24.

**Figure 35** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #25.

**Figure 36** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #26.

25 **Figure 37** shows a high resolution  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #27.

**Figure 38** provides a graphical representation of the effect of fractional combinations of cytotoxic agents.

30 **Figure 39** shows epothilone A and epothilone analogues #1-7. Potencies against human leukemia CCRF-CEM (sensitive) and CCRF-CEM/VBL MDR (resistant) sublines are shown in round and square brackets, respectively.

35 **Figure 40** shows epothilone B and epothilone analogues #8-16. Potencies against human leukemia CCRF-CEM (sensitive) and CCRF-CEM/VBL MDR (resistant) sublines are shown in round and square brackets, respectively.

**Figure 41** shows epothilone analogues #17-25. Potencies against human leukemia CCRF-CEM (sensitive) and CCRF-CEM/VBL MDR (resistant) sublines are shown in round and square brackets, respectively.

5 **Figure 42(A)** shows epothilone analogues #26-34. Potencies against human leukemia CCRF-CEM (sensitive) and CCRF-CEM/VBL MDR (resistant) sublines are shown in round and square brackets, respectively.

10 **Figure 42(B)** shows epothilone analogues #35-46. Potencies against human leukemia CCRF-CEM (sensitive) and CCRF-CEM/VBL MDR (resistant) sublines are shown in round and square brackets, respectively.

**Figure 42(C)** shows epothilone analogues #47-49.

15

**Figure 43(A)** shows antitumor activity of desoxyepothilone B against MDR MCF-7/Adr xenograft in comparison with taxol. Control (◆); desoxyepothilone B (■; 35mg/kg); taxol (▲; 6mg/kg); adriamycin (×; 1.8mg/kg); i.p. Q2Dx5; start on day 8.

20

**Figure 43(B)** shows antitumor activity of epothilone B against MDR MCF-7/Adr xenograft in comparison with taxol. Control (◆); epothilone B (■; 25mg/kg; non-toxic dose); taxol (▲; 6mg/kg; half LD<sub>50</sub>); adriamycin (×; 1.8mg/kg); i.p. Q2Dx5; start on day 8.

25

**Figure 44(A)** shows toxicity of desoxyepothilone B in B6D2F<sub>1</sub> mice bearing B16 melanoma. Body weight was determined at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 days. Control (▲); desoxyepothilone B (◊; 10mg/kg QDx8; 0 of 8 died); desoxyepothilone B (●; 20mg/kg QDx6; 0 of 8 died). Injections were started on day 1.

30

**Figure 44(B)** shows toxicity of epothilone B in B6D2F<sub>1</sub> mice bearing B16 melanoma. Body weight was determined at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 days. Control (▲); epothilone B (◊; 0.4mg/kg QDx6; 1 of 8 died of toxicity); epothilone B (●; 0.8mg/kg QDx5; 5 of 8 died). Injections were started on day 1.

35

**Figure 45(A)** shows comparative therapeutic effect of desoxyepothilone B and taxol on nude mice bearing MX-1 xenograft. Tumor, s.c.; drug administered i.p., Q2Dx5, start on day 7. control (◆); Taxol (□; 5mg/kg, one half of LD<sub>50</sub>); desoxyepothilone B (▲; 25mg/kg; nontoxic dose).

**Figure 45(B)** shows comparative therapeutic effect of desoxyepothilone B and taxol on nude mice bearing MX-1 xenopant. Tumor, s.c.; drug administered i.p., Q2Dx5, start on day 7. control (◆); Taxol (□; 5mg/kg, one half of LD<sub>50</sub>, given on days 7, 9, 11, 13, 15; then 6 mg/kg, given on days 17, 19, 23, 24, 25); desoxyepothilone B (n=3; Δ, x, \*; 25mg/kg, nontoxic dose, given to three mice on days 7, 9, 11, 13, 15; then 35 mg/kg, given on days 17, 19, 23, 24, 25).

**Figure 46** shows the effect of treatment with desoxyepothilone B (35 mg/kg), taxol (5 mg/kg) and adriamycin (2mg/kg) of nude mice bearing human MX-1 xenograft on tumor size between 8 and 18 days after implantation. Desoxyepothilone B (□), taxol (Δ), adriamycin (X), control (◆); i.p. treatments were given on day 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16.

**Figure 47** shows the relative toxicity of epothilone B (□; 0.6 mg/kg QDx4; i.p.) and desoxyepothilone B (Δ; 25 mg/kg QDx4; i.p.) versus control (◆) in normal nude mice. Body weight of mice was determined daily after injection. For epothilone B, 8 of 8 mice died of toxicity on days 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, and 7; for desoxyepothilone B, all six mice survived.

**Figure 48** shows a high resolution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #43.

**Figure 49** shows a high resolution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #45.

**Figure 50** shows a high resolution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #46.

**Figure 51** shows a high resolution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #47.

**Figure 52** shows a high resolution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of epothilone analogue #48.

#### **Detailed Description of the Invention**

As used herein, the term "linear or branched chain alkyl" encompasses, but is not limited to, methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, t-butyl, sec-butyl, cyclopentyl or cyclohexyl. The alkyl group may contain one carbon atom or as many as fourteen carbon atoms, but preferably contains one carbon atom or as many as nine carbon atoms, and may be substituted by various groups, which include, but are not limited to, acyl, aryl, alkoxy, aryloxy, carboxy, hydroxy, carboxamido and/or N-acylamino moieties.


As used herein, the terms "alkoxycarbonyl", "acyl" and "alkoxy" encompass, but are not limited to, methoxycarbonyl, ethoxycarbonyl, propoxycarbonyl, n-




butoxycarbonyl, benzyloxycarbonyl, hydroxypropylcarbonyl, aminoethoxycarbonyl, sec-butoxycarbonyl and cyclopentyloxycarbonyl. Examples of acyl groups include, but are not limited to, formyl, acetyl, propionyl, butyryl and penanoyl. Examples of alkoxy groups include, but are not limited to, methoxy, ethoxy, propoxy, n-butoxy, sec-butoxy and cyclopentyloxy.

As used herein, an "aryl" encompasses, but is not limited to, a phenyl, pyridyl, pyrrol, indolyl, naphthyl, thiophenyl or furyl group, each of which may be substituted by various groups, which include, but are not limited, acyl, aryl alkoxy, aryloxy, carboxy, hydroxy, carboxamido or N-acylamino moieties. Examples of aryloxy groups include, but are not limited to, a phenoxy, 2-methylphenoxy, 3-methylphenoxy and 2-naphthoxy. Examples of acyloxy groups include, but are not limited to, acetoxy, propanoyloxy, butyryloxy, pentanoyloxy and hexanoyloxy.

The subject invention provides chemotherapeutic analogues of epothilone A and B, including a compound having the structure:




wherein R, R<sub>0</sub>, and R' are independently H, linear or branched chain alkyl, optionally substituted by hydroxy, alkoxy, fluorine, NR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>, N-hydroximino, or N-alkoxyimino, wherein R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> are independently H, phenyl, benzyl, linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein R'' is -CHY=CHX, or H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazoliny, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-methyl-1,3-oxazoliny, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; and wherein X is H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazoliny, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-methyl-1,3-oxazoliny, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; wherein Y is H or linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein Z is O, N(OR<sub>3</sub>) or N-NR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>5</sub>, wherein R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> and R<sub>5</sub> are independently H or a linear or branched alkyl; and wherein n is 0, 1, 2, or 3. In one embodiment, the invention provides the compound having the structure:




wherein R is H, methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl, n-hexyl,  $\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ , or  $(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{OH}$ .

5

The invention also provides a compound having the structure:




- wherein R,  $R_0$ , and  $R'$  are independently H, linear or branched chain alkyl, optionally substituted by hydroxy, alkoxy, fluorine,  $\text{NR}_1\text{R}_2$ , N-hydroximino, or N-alkoxyimino, wherein  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are independently H, phenyl, benzyl, linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein  $R''$  is -CHY=CHX, or H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazolynyl, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-methyl-1,3-oxazolynyl, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; and wherein X is H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazolynyl, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-methyl-1,3-oxazolynyl, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; wherein Y is H or linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein Z is O,  $\text{N}(\text{OR}_3)$  or  $\text{N}-\text{NR}_4\text{R}_5$ , wherein  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$  and  $R_5$  are independently H or a linear or branched chain alkyl; and wherein n is 0, 1, 2, or 3. In a certain embodiment, the invention provides a compound having the structure:
- 10
- 15



wherein R is H, methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl, n-hexyl or CH<sub>2</sub>OH.


In addition, the invention provides a compound having the structure:

5



wherein R, R<sub>0</sub>, and R' are independently H, linear or branched chain alkyl, optionally substituted by hydroxy, alkoxy, fluorine, NR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>, N-hydroximino, or N-alkoxyimino, wherein


- 10 R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> are independently H, phenyl, benzyl, linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein R'' is -CHY=CHX, or H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazolynyl, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-methyl-1,3-oxazolynyl, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; and wherein X is H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazolynyl, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-methyl-1,3-oxazolynyl, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; wherein Y is H or linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein Z is O, N(OR<sub>3</sub>) or N-NR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>5</sub>, wherein R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> and R<sub>5</sub> are independently H or a linear or branched chain alkyl; and wherein n is 0, 1, 2, or 3. In particular, the invention provides a compound having the structure:
- 15



wherein R is H, methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl, CH<sub>2</sub>OH or (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH.

The invention further provides a compound having the structure:


5




wherein R, R<sub>0</sub> and R' are independently H, linear or branched chain alkyl, optionally substituted by hydroxy, alkoxy, fluorine, NR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>, N-hydroximino or N-alkoxyimino, wherein


- 10 R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> are independently H, phenyl, benzyl, linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein R'' is -CHY=CHX, or H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazoliny, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-methyl-1,3-oxazoliny, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; and wherein X is H, linear or branched chain alkyl, phenyl, 2-methyl-1,3-thiazoliny, 2-furanyl, 3-furanyl, 4-furanyl, 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, imidazolyl, 2-
- 15 methyl-1,3-oxazoliny, 3-indolyl or 6-indolyl; wherein Y is H or linear or branched chain alkyl; wherein Z is O, N(OR<sub>3</sub>) or N-NR<sub>4</sub>R<sub>5</sub>, wherein R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> and R<sub>5</sub> are independently H or a linear or branched chain alkyl; and wherein n is 0, 1, 2 or 3.

The invention also provides a compound having the structure:




The subject invention also provides various intermediates useful for the preparation of the chemotherapeutic compounds epothilone A and B, as well as analogues thereof. Accordingly, the invention provides a key intermediate to epothilone A and its analogues having the structure:




wherein R is hydrogen, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzoyl; wherein R' is hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-hexyl, , CH<sub>2</sub>OTBS or (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-OTBDPS; and X is a halide. In one embodiment, the subject invention provides a compound of the above structure wherein R is acetyl and X is iodo.

The subject invention also provides an intermediate having the structure:



wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyl diarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl; wherein X is oxygen, (OR)<sub>2</sub>, (SR)<sub>2</sub>, -(O-


$(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{-O-}$ ,  $\text{-O-(CH}_2)_n\text{-S-}$  or  $\text{-S-(CH}_2)_n\text{-S-}$ ; and wherein n is 2, 3 or 4.



wherein R is H or methyl.

5

Another analogue provided by the invention has the structure:




wherein R is H, methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl, n-hexyl,  $\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ , or  $(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{OH}$ .

Additionally, the subject invention provides an analogue having the


10

structure:




5 the structure:


5 the structure:




10 preparing a Z-iodoalkene ester having the structure:




25




30



wherein R' and R'' are independently a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, under suitable conditions to form a compound having the structure:




(b) treating the compound formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form a Z-iodoalkene having the structure:




and (c) deprotecting and acylating the Z-iodoalkene formed in step (b) under suitable conditions to form the Z-iodoalkene ester. The coupling in step (a) may be effected using a strong base such as n-BuLi in an inert polar solvent such as tetrahydrofuran (THF) at low temperatures, typically below -50°C, and preferably at -78°C. The treatment in step (b) may comprise sequential reaction with N-iodosuccinimide in the presence of Ag(I), such as silver nitrate, in a polar organic solvent such as acetone, followed by reduction conditions, typically using a hydroborating reagent, preferably using Cy<sub>2</sub>BH. Deprotecting step (c) involves contact with a thiol such as thiophenol in the presence of a Lewis acid catalyst, such as boron trifluoride-etherate in an inert organic solvent such as dichloromethane, followed by acylation with an acyl halide, such as acetyl chloride, or an acyl anhydride, such as acetic anhydride in the presence of a mild base such as pyridine and/or 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) in an inert organic solvent such as dichloromethane.




The subject invention also provides a method of preparing a Z-haloalkene ester having the structure:



- 5 wherein R is hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryloxyalkyl, linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl; and wherein X is a halogen, which comprises (a) oxidatively cleaving a compound having the structure:




- 10 under suitable conditions to form an aldehyde intermediate; and (b) condensing the aldehyde intermediate with a halomethylene transfer agent under suitable conditions to form the Z-haloalkene ester. In one embodiment of the method, X is iodine. In another embodiment, the method is practiced wherein the halomethylene transfer agent is  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{CHI}$  or  $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P}^+\text{CH}_2\text{I})\text{I}^-$ .
- 15 Disubstituted olefins may be prepared using the haloalkylidene transfer agent  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{CR}'\text{I}$ , wherein R' is hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, n-prop-


yl, n-hexyl, ,  $\text{CO}_2\text{Et}$  or  $(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{OTBDPS}$ . The oxidative step (a) can be

- performed using a mild oxidant such as osmium tetroxide at temperatures of about  $0^\circ\text{C}$ , followed by treatment with sodium periodate, or with lead tetraacetate/sodium carbonate, to
- 20 complete the cleavage of the terminal olefin, and provide a terminal aldehyde. Condensing step (b) occurs effectively with a variety of halomethylenating reagents, such as Wittig reagents.

The subject invention further provides a method of preparing an optically pure compound having the structure:




- 5 wherein R is hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryloxyalkyl, linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, which comprises: (a) condensing an allylic organometallic reagent with an unsaturated aldehyde having the structure:



10


under suitable conditions to form an alcohol, and, optionally concurrently therewith, optically resolving the alcohol to form an optically pure alcohol having the structure:




- 15 (b) alkylating or acylating the optically pure alcohol formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form the optically pure compound. In one embodiment of the method, the allylic organometallic reagent is an allyl(trialkyl)stannane. In another embodiment, the condensing step is effected using a reagent comprising a titanium tetraalkoxide and an optically active catalyst. In step (a) the 1,2-addition to the unsaturated aldehyde may be
- 20 performed using a variety of allylic organometallic reagents, typically with an

allyltrialkylstannane, and preferably with allyltri-n-butylstannane, in the presence of chiral catalyst and molecular sieves in an inert organic solvent such as dichloromethane. Preferably, the method may be practiced using titanium tetraalkoxides, such as titanium tetra-n-propoxide, and *S*-(-)BINOL as the optically active catalyst. Alkylating or acylating step (b) is effected using any typical alkylating agent, such as alkylhalide or alkyl tosylate, alkyl triflate or alkyl mesylate, any typical acylating agent, such as acetyl chloride, acetic anhydride, benzoyl chloride or benzoyl anhydride, in the presence of a mild base catalyst in an inert organic solvent, such as dichloromethane.


The subject invention also provides a method of preparing an open-chain aldehyde having the structure:




wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, which comprises: (a) cross-coupling a haloolefin having the structure:



wherein R is a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryloxyalkyl, trialkylsilyl, aryldialkylsilyl, diarylalkylsilyl, triarylsilyl, linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, and X is a halogen, with a terminal olefin having the structure:




wherein (OR''')<sub>2</sub> is (OR<sub>0</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, (SR<sub>0</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, -(O-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-O)-, -(O-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-S)- or -(S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-S)- where R<sub>0</sub> is a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl; and wherein n is 2, 3 or 4, under suitable conditions to form a cross-coupled compound having the structure:




wherein Y is CH(OR\*)<sub>2</sub> where R\* is a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryloxyalkyl; and (b) deprotecting the cross-coupled compound formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form the open-chain compound. Cross-coupling step (a) is effected using reagents known in the art which are suited to the purpose. For example, the process may be carried out by hydroborating the pre-acyl component with 9-BBN. The resulting mixed borane may then be cross-coupled with an organometallic catalyst such as PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf)<sub>2</sub>, or any known equivalent thereof, in the presence of such ancillary reagents as cesium carbonate and triphenylarsine. Deprotecting step (b) can be carried out with a mild acid catalyst such as p-tosic acid, and typically in a mixed aqueous organic solvent system, such as dioxane-water. The open-chain compound can be cyclized using any of a variety of non-nucleophilic bases, such as potassium hexamethyldisilazide or lithium diethylamide.

The subject invention also provides a method of preparing an epothilone having the structure:




which comprises: (a) deprotecting a cyclized compound having the structure:

5




wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, under suitable conditions to form a deprotected cyclized compound and oxidizing the deprotected cyclized compound under suitable conditions to form a desoxyepothilone having the structure:

10




- and (b) epoxidizing the desoxyepothilone formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form the epothilone. Deprotecting step (a) is effected using a sequence of treatments comprising a catalyst such as HF-pyridine, followed by t-butyldimethylsilyl triflate in the presence of a base such as lutidine. Dess-Martin oxidation and further deprotection with a catalyst such as HF-pyridine provides the desoxyepothilone. The latter compound can then be epoxidized in step (b) using any of a variety of epoxidizing agents, such as acetic peracid, hydrogen peroxide, perbenzoic acid, m-chloroperbenzoic acid, but preferably with dimethyldioxirane, in an inert organic solvent such as dichloromethane.


The subject invention further provides a method of preparing an epothilone precursor having the structure:



- wherein  $R_1$  is hydrogen or methyl; wherein  $X$  is  $O$ , or a hydrogen and  $OR''$ , each singly bonded to carbon; and wherein  $R_0$ ,  $R'$  and  $R''$  are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, which comprises (a) coupling a compound having the structure:




wherein R is an acetyl, with an aldehyde having the structure:



5

wherein Y is oxygen, under suitable conditions to form an aldol intermediate and optionally protecting the aldol intermediate under suitable conditions to form an acyclic epothilone precursor having the structure:




10

(b) subjecting the acyclic epothilone precursor to conditions leading to intramolecular olefin metathesis to form the epothilone precursor. In one embodiment of the method, the conditions leading to intramolecular olefin metathesis require the presence of an organometallic catalyst. In a certain specific embodiment of the method, the catalyst contains Ru or Mo. The coupling step (a) may be effected using a nonnucleophilic base such as lithium diethylamide or lithium diisopropylamide at subambient temperatures, but preferably at about -78°C. The olefin metathesis in step (b) may be carried out using any catalyst known in the art suited for the purpose, though preferably using one of Grubbs's catalysts.


20

In addition, the present invention provides a compound useful as an intermediate for preparing epothilones having the structure:



wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl; wherein X is oxygen, (OR\*)<sub>2</sub>, (SR\*)<sub>2</sub>, -(O-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-O)-, -(O-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-S)- or -(S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-S)-; wherein R\* is a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl; wherein R<sub>2</sub>B is a linear, branched or cyclic boranyl moiety; and wherein n is 2, 3 or 4. In certain embodiments, the invention provides the compound wherein R' is TBS, R'' is TPS and X is (OMe)<sub>2</sub>. A preferred example of R<sub>2</sub>B is derived from 9-BBN.


The invention also provides the compound having the structure:



wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl; wherein X is oxygen, (OR)<sub>2</sub>, (SR)<sub>2</sub>, -(O-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-O)-, -(O-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-S)- or -(S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-S)-; and wherein n is 2, 3 or 4. In certain embodiments, the invention provides the compound wherein R' is TBS, R'' is TPS and X is (OMe)<sub>2</sub>.

The invention further provides a desmethylepothilone analogue having the structure:






wherein R is H or methyl.

5


The invention provides a compound having the structure:



wherein R is H or methyl.


10

The invention also provides a trans-desmethyldeoxyepothilone analogue having the structure:




wherein R is H or methyl.

The invention also provides a trans-epothilone having the structure:




5 wherein R is H or methyl.

The invention also provides a compound having the structure:




10 wherein R is hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryloxyalkyl, linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl; wherein

R' is hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-hexyl, , CO<sub>2</sub>Et or (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OTBDPS.


and X is a halogen. In certain embodiments, the invention provides the compound wherein R is acetyl and X is iodine.

15 The invention additionally provides a method of preparing an open-chain aldehyde having the structure:




wherein R is a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryloxyalkyl, trialkylsilyl, arylalkylsilyl, diarylalkylsilyl, triarylsilyl, linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl; and wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkylarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, which comprises:

(a) cross-coupling a haloolefin having the structure:




wherein X is a halogen, with a terminal borane having the structure:



wherein  $R_2B$  is a linear, branched or cyclic alkyl or substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl boranyl moiety; and wherein Y is  $(OR_0)_2$ ,  $(SR_0)_2$ ,  $-(O-(CH_2)_n-O)-$ ,  $-(O-(CH_2)_n-S)-$  or  $-(S-(CH_2)_n-S)-$  where  $R_0$  is a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl; and wherein n is 2, 3 or 4, under suitable conditions to form a cross-coupled compound having

the structure:




5

and

(b) deprotecting the cross-coupled compound formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form the open-chain aldehyde. In certain embodiments, the invention provides the method wherein R is acetyl; R' is TBS; R'' is TPS; R\*B is derived from 9-BBN; and Y is (OMe)<sub>2</sub>.

- 1.0 Cross-coupling step (a) is effected using reagents known in the art which are suited to the purpose. For example, the mixed borane may be cross-coupled with an organometallic catalyst such as PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf)<sub>2</sub>, or any known equivalent thereof, in the presence of such reagents as cesium carbonate and triphenylarsine. Deprotecting step (b) can be carried out
- 1.5 using a mild acid catalyst such as p-tosic acid, typically in a mixed aqueous organic solvent system, such as dioxane-water.

The invention also provides a method of preparing a protected epothilone having the structure:




- 2.0 wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or


unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkyl-arylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, which comprises:

(a) monoprotecting a cyclic diol having the structure:

5



under suitable conditions to form a cyclic alcohol having the structure:



10

and

(b) oxidizing the cyclic alcohol formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form the protected epothilone. In certain embodiments, the invention provides the method wherein R' and R'' are TBS. The monoprotecting step (a) may be effected using any of a variety of


15

suitable reagents, including TBSOTf in the presence of a base in an inert organic solvent. The base may be a non-nucleophilic base such as 2,6-lutidine, and the solvent may be dichloromethane. The reaction is conducted at subambient temperatures, preferably in the range of -30°C. The oxidizing step (b) utilizes a selective oxidant such as Dess-Martin periodinane in an inert organic solvent such as dichloromethane. The oxidation is carried out

20

at ambient temperatures, preferably at 20-25°C.


The invention further provides a method of preparing an epothilone having the structure:




5

which comprises:

(a) deprotecting a protected cyclic ketone having the structure:




1.0 wherein R' and R'' are independently hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl, under suitable conditions to form a desoxyepothilone having the structure:




and (b) epoxidizing the desoxyepothilone formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form the epothilone. In certain embodiments, the invention provides the method wherein R' and R'' are TBS. Deprotecting step (a) is carried out by means of a treatment comprising a reagent such as HF-pyridine. The deprotected compound can be epoxidized in step (b) using an epoxidizing agent such as acetic peracid, hydrogen peroxide, perbenzoic acid, m-chloroperbenzoic acid, but preferably with dimethyldioxirane, in an inert organic solvent such as dichloromethane.

The invention also provides a method of preparing a cyclic diol having the structure:




wherein R' is a hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkyldiarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzoyl, which comprises:

(a) cyclizing an open-chain aldehyde having the structure:



wherein R is a linear or branched alkyl, alkoxyalkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryloxyalkyl, trialkylsilyl, aryldialkylsilyl, diarylalkylsilyl, triarylsilyl, linear or branched acyl, substituted or

- 5    unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl; and wherein R' is a hydrogen, a linear or branched alkyl, substituted or unsubstituted aryl or benzyl, trialkylsilyl, dialkylarylsilyl, alkylarylsilyl, a linear or branched acyl, substituted or unsubstituted aroyl or benzoyl under suitable conditions to form an enantiomeric mixture of a protected cyclic alcohol having the structure:



- 10    said mixture comprising an  $\alpha$ - and a  $\beta$ -alcohol component;

(b) optionally isolating and oxidizing the  $\alpha$ -alcohol formed in step (a) under suitable conditions to form a ketone and thereafter reducing the ketone under suitable conditions to form an enantiomeric mixture of the protected cyclic alcohol comprising substantially the  $\beta$ -

- 15    alcohol; and

(c) treating the protected cyclic alcohol formed in step (a) or (b) with a deprotecting agent under suitable conditions to form the cyclic diol. In certain embodiments, the invention provides the method wherein R' is TBS and R'' is TPS. Cyclizing step (a) is performed using any of a variety of mild nonnucleophilic bases such as KHMDS in an inert solvent such as



THF. The reaction is carried out at subambient temperatures, preferably between -90°C and -50°C, more preferably at -78°C. Isolation of the unnatural alpha-OH diastereomer is effected by any purification method, including any suitable type of chromatography or by crystallization. Chromatographic techniques useful for the purpose include high pressure liquid chromatography, countercurrent chromatography or flash chromatography. Various column media are suited, including, *inter alia*, silica or reverse phase support. The beta-OH derivative is then oxidized using a selective oxidant, such as Dess-Martin periodinane. The resulting ketone is then reduced using a selective reductant. Various hydridoborane and aluminum hydride reagents are effective. A preferred reducing agent is sodium borohydride.

5

10 Treating step (c) may be effected using a variety of deprotecting agents, including HF-pyridine.

In addition, the invention provides a method of treating cancer in a subject suffering therefrom comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of any of the analogues related to epothilone B disclosed herein optionally in combination with a pharmaceutically suitable carrier. The method may be applied where the cancer is a solid tumor or leukemia. In particular, the method is applicable where the cancer is breast cancer or melanoma.

15

The subject invention also provides a pharmaceutical composition for treating cancer comprising any of the analogues of epothilone disclosed hereinabove, as an active ingredient, optionally though typically in combination with a pharmaceutically suitable carrier. The pharmaceutical compositions of the present invention may further comprise other therapeutically active ingredients.

20

The subject invention further provides a method of treating cancer in a subject suffering therefrom comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of any of the analogues of epothilone disclosed hereinabove and a pharmaceutically suitable carrier. The method is especially useful where the cancer is a solid tumor or leukemia.

25

The compounds taught above which are related to epothilones A and B are useful in the treatment of cancer, and particularly, in cases where multidrug resistance is present, both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. The ability of these compounds as non-substrates of MDR in cells, as demonstrated in the Tables below, shows that the compounds are useful to treat, prevent or ameliorate cancer in subjects suffering therefrom.

30

The magnitude of the therapeutic dose of the compounds of the invention will vary with the nature and severity of the condition to be treated and with the particular compound and its route of administration. In general, the daily dose range for anticancer activity lies in the range of 0.001 to 25 mg/kg of body weight in a mammal, preferably 0.001

35

to 10 mg/kg, and most preferably 0.001 to 1.0 mg/kg, in single or multiple doses. In unusual cases, it may be necessary to administer doses above 25 mg/kg.

Any suitable route of administration may be employed for providing a mammal, especially a human, with an effective dosage of a compound disclosed herein. For example, oral, rectal, topical, parenteral, ocular, pulmonary, nasal, etc., routes may be employed. Dosage forms include tablets, troches, dispersions, suspensions, solutions, capsules, creams, ointments, aerosols, etc.

The compositions include compositions suitable for oral, rectal, topical (including transdermal devices, aerosols, creams, ointments, lotions and dusting powders), parenteral (including subcutaneous, intramuscular and intravenous), ocular (ophthalmic), pulmonary (nasal or buccal inhalation) or nasal administration. Although the most suitable route in any given case will depend largely on the nature and severity of the condition being treated and on the nature of the active ingredient. They may be conveniently presented in unit dosage form and prepared by any of the methods well known in the art of pharmacy.

In preparing oral dosage forms, any of the unusual pharmaceutical media may be used, such as water, glycols, oils, alcohols, flavoring agents, preservatives, coloring agents, and the like in the case of oral liquid preparations (e.g., suspensions, elixers and solutions); or carriers such as starches, sugars, microcrystalline cellulose, diluents, granulating agents, lubricants, binders, disintegrating agents, etc., in the case of oral solid preparations are preferred over liquid oral preparations such as powders, capsules and tablets. If desired, capsules may be coated by standard aqueous or non-aqueous techniques. In addition to the dosage forms described above, the compounds of the invention may be administered by controlled release means and devices.

Pharmaceutical compositions of the present invention suitable for oral administration may be prepared as discrete units such as capsules, cachets or tablets each containing a predetermined amount of the active ingredient in powder or granular form or as a solution or suspension in an aqueous or nonaqueous liquid or in an oil-in-water or water-in-oil emulsion. Such compositions may be prepared by any of the methods known in the art of pharmacy. In general compositions are prepared by uniformly and intimately admixing the active ingredient with liquid carriers, finely divided solid carriers, or both and then, if necessary, shaping the product into the desired form. For example, a tablet may be prepared by compression or molding, optionally with one or more accessory ingredients. Compressed tablets may be prepared by compressing in a suitable machine the active ingredient in a free-flowing form such as powder or granule optionally mixed with a binder, lubricant, inert diluent or surface active or dispersing agent. Molded tablets may be made by molding in a suitable machine, a mixture of the powdered compound moistened with an inert liquid diluent.

The present invention will be better understood from the Experimental Details which follow. However, one skilled in the art will readily appreciate that the specific methods and results discussed are merely illustrative of the invention as described in the claims which follow thereafter. It will be understood that the processes of the present invention for preparing epothilones A and B, analogues thereof and intermediates thereto encompass the use of various alternate protecting groups known in the art. Those protecting groups used in the disclosure including the Examples below are merely illustrative.

#### EXAMPLE 1

THP glycidol; 13: A solution of (R)-(+)-glycidol **12** (20 g; 270 mmol) and freshly distilled 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran (68.1 g; 810 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (900 ml) was treated with pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (2.1 g; 8.36 mmol) at rt and the resulting solution was stirred for 16 h. Approximately 50% of the solvent was then removed in vacuo and the remaining solution was diluted with ether (1 L). The organic layer was then washed with two portions of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (500 ml), dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 25  $\rightarrow$  50% ether:hexanes) afforded THP glycidol **13** (31.2 g; 73%) as a colorless liquid: IR(film): 2941, 1122, 1034  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500MHz) d 4.66(t,  $J = 3.5\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 4.64(t,  $J = 3.5\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 3.93(dd,  $J = 11.7, 3.1\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 3.86(m, 2H), 3.73(dd,  $J = 11.8, 5.03\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 3.67(dd,  $J = 11.8, 3.4\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 3.51(m, 2H), 3.40(dd,  $J = 11.7, 6.4\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 3.18(m, 2H), 2.80(m, 2H), 2.67(dd,  $J = 5.2, 2.7\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 2.58(dd,  $J = 5.0, 2.7\text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 1.82(m, 2H), 1.73(m, 2H), 1.52(m, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125MHz) d 98.9, 98.8, 68.5, 67.3, 62.4, 62.2, 50.9, 50.6, 44.6, 44.5, 30.5, 30.4, 25.4, 19.3, 19.2;  $[\alpha]_D = +4.98$  ( $c = 2.15$ ,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

#### EXAMPLE 2

Alcohol 13a: Trimethylsilylacetylene (32.3 g; 329 mmol) was added via syringe to THF (290 ml), and the resulting solution was cooled to  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  and treated with *n*-butyllithium (154 ml of a 1.6 M solution in hexanes; 246.4 mmol). After 15 min, boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (34.9 g; 246 mmol) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min. A solution of epoxide **13** (26 g; 164.3 mmol) in THF (130 ml) was then added via a cannula and the resulting solution was stirred for 5.5 h at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ . The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (250 ml) and the solution was allowed to warm to rt. The mixture was then diluted with ether (600 ml) and washed successively with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (250 ml), water (250 ml), and brine (250 ml). The organic layer was then dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 20% ether:hexanes) provided alcohol **13a** (34 g; 76%).

#### EXAMPLE 3

**MOM ether 13b:** A solution of alcohol **13a** (24 g; 88.9 mmol) and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (108 ml; 622 mmol) in anhydrous 1,2-dichloroethane (600 ml) was treated with chloromethyl methyl ether (17 ml; 196 mmol), and the resulting mixture was heated to 55 °C for 28 h. The dark mixture was then cooled to rt and treated with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (300 ml). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed successively with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (200 ml) and brine (200 ml). The organic layer was then dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and filtered through a pad of silica gel (ether rinse). Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 20 → 30% ether:hexanes) afforded MOM ether **13b** (23.7 g; 85%) as a pale yellow oil.

10

#### **EXAMPLE 4**

**Alcohol 14:** A solution of THP ether **13b** (20 g; 63.7 mmol) in methanol (90 ml) was treated with pyridinium *p*-toluenesulfonate (4.0 g; 15.9 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. The reaction was then quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (100 ml), and the excess methanol was removed in vacuo. The residue was diluted with ether (300 ml), and the organic layer was washed successively with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (200 ml) and brine (200 ml). The organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 40 → 50% ether:hexanes) provided alcohol **14** (13.1 g; 95%) as a colorless oil.

15

#### **EXAMPLE 5**

**Alcohol 14a:** To a cooled (-78 °C) solution of oxalyl chloride (24.04 ml of a 2.0 M solution in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 48.08 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (165 ml) was added anhydrous DMSO (4.6 ml; 64.1 mmol) in dropwise fashion. After 30 min, a solution of alcohol **14** (6.93 g; 32.05 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (65 ml + 10 ml rinse) was added and the resulting solution was stirred at -78 °C for 40 min. Freshly distilled triethylamine (13.4 ml; 96.15 mmol) was then added, the cooling bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. The reaction mixture was then diluted with ether (500 ml), and washed successively with two portions of water (250 ml) and one portion of brine (250 ml). The organic layer was then dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated.

20

25

The crude aldehyde (6.9 g) prepared in the above reaction was dissolved in ether (160 ml) and cooled to 0 °C. Methylmagnesium bromide (32.1 ml of a 3.0 M solution in butyl ether; 96.15 mmol) was then added, and the solution was allowed to warm slowly to rt. After 10 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution. The mixture was diluted with ether (200 ml) and washed successively with water (150 ml) and brine (150 ml). The organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 40 → 50% ether:hexanes) provided alcohol **14a** (6.3 g; 85% from **14**).

30

35

#### **EXAMPLE 6**

**Ketone 15:** A solution of alcohol **14** (1.0 g; 4.35 mmol), 4 Å mol. sieves, and *N*-methylmorpholine-*N*-oxide (1.0 g; 8.7 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml) at rt was treated with a catalytic amount of tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate, and the resulting black suspension was stirred for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a pad of silica gel (ether rinse), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 10% ether:hexanes) afforded ketone **15** (924 mg; 93%) as a light yellow oil.

#### EXAMPLE 7

**Alkene 17:** A cooled (-78 °C) solution of phosphine oxide **16** (1.53 g; 4.88 mmol) in THF (15.2 ml) was treated with *n*-butyllithium (1.79 ml of a 2.45 M solution in hexanes). After 15 min, the orange solution was treated with a solution of ketone **15** (557 mg; 2.44 mmol) in THF (4.6 ml). After 10 min, the cooling bath was removed, and the solution was allowed to warm to rt. The formation of a precipitate was observed as the solution warmed. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution (20 ml). The mixture was then poured into ether (150 ml) and washed successively with water (50 ml) and brine (50 ml). The organic layer was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 10% ether:hexanes) afforded alkene **17** (767 mg; 97%) as a colorless oil: IR(film): 2956, 2177, 1506, 1249, 1149, 1032, 842, cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500MHz) δ 6.95(s, 1H), 6.53(s, 1H), 4.67(d, *J* = 6.7Hz, 1H), 4.57(d, *J* = 6.8Hz, 1H), 4.29(dd, *J* = 8.1, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.43(s, 3H), 2.70(s, 3H), 2.62(dd, *J* = 16.9, 8.2Hz, 1H), 2.51(dd, *J* = 17.0, 5.4Hz, 1H), 2.02(s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 164.4, 152.5, 137.1, 121.8, 116.2, 103.7, 93.6, 86.1, 79.6, 55.4, 25.9, 19.1, 13.5; [α]<sub>D</sub> = -27.3 (c = 2.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### EXAMPLE 8

**Alkynyl iodide formation:** To a solution of the alkyne **17** (3.00 g, 9.29 mmol) in acetone (100 mL) at 0°C was added NIS (2.51 g; 11.2 mmol) and AgNO<sub>3</sub> (0.160 g; 0.929 mmol). The mixture was then slowly warmed to rt. After 1.5 h, the reaction was poured into Et<sub>2</sub>O (250 mL) and washed once with sat bisulfite (40 mL), once with sat NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (40 mL), once with brine (40 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel using gradient elution with hexanes/ethyl acetate (10:1 - 7:1) gave 2.22 g (64%) of the iodide **17a** as an amber oil.

#### EXAMPLE 9

**Reduction of the alkynyl iodide:** BH<sub>3</sub>·DMS (0.846 mL, 8.92 mmol) was added to a solution of cyclohexene (1.47 mL, 17.9 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (60 mL) at 0°C. The reaction was then warmed to rt. After 1 h, the iodide **x** (2.22 g, 5.95 mmol) was added to Et<sub>2</sub>O. After 3 h, AcOH (1.0 mL) was added. After 30 additional min, the solution was poured into sat NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted

with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 100 mL). The combined organics were then washed once with brine (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (6:1) gave 1.45 g (65%) of the vinyl iodide **18** as a yellow oil.

#### EXAMPLE 10

- 5 MOM removal: To a solution of iodide **18** (1.45 g, 3.86 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 mL) at rt was added thiophenol (1.98 mL, 19.3 mmol) and BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (1.90 mL, 15.43 mmol). After 22h, the reaction was poured into EtOAc (150 mL) and washed with 1N NaOH (2 x 50 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel using gradient elution with hexanes/ethyl acetate (4:1 - 2:1 - 1:1) gave 1.075 g (86%) of the alcohol **18a** as a  
10 pale yellow oil.

#### EXAMPLE 11

- Acetate formation: To a solution of alcohol **18a** (1.04 g, 3.15 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) was added pyridine (2.52 mL, 25.4 mmol), acetic anhydride (1.19 mL, 12.61 mmol) and DMAP (0.005 g). After 1 h, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Purification of the resulting residue  
15 by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:1) gave 1.16 g (99%) of the acetate **19** as a pale yellow oil. IR(film): 1737, 1368, 1232, 1018 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500MHz) d 6.97 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.34 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 1.0Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 6.9Hz, 1H), 5.40 (t, *J* = 6.4Hz, 1H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 2.61 (m, 2H), 2.08 (2s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) d 169.8, 164.4, 152.2, 136.4, 136.1, 120.6, 116.4, 85.1, 38.3, 21.0, 19.1,  
20 14.7; [α]<sub>D</sub> = -28.8 (c = 1.47, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### EXAMPLE 12

- To a solution of alcohol **4** (2.34 g, 3.62 mmol) and 2,6-lutidine (1.26 mL, 10.86 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (23 mL) at 0 °C was treated with TBSOTf (1.0 mL, 4.34 mmol). After stirring for 1.5 h at 0 °C the reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (200 uL) and the mixture stirred an  
25 additional 5 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) and washed successively with 1 N HCl (25 mL), water (25 mL), and brine (25 mL). The solution was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with 5% Et<sub>2</sub>O in hexanes to provide compound **7** (2.70 g, 98%) as a colorless foam.

30

#### EXAMPLE 13

- A solution of compound **7** (2.93 g, 3.85 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (20:1, 80 mL) was treated with DDQ (5.23 g, 23.07 mmol) and the resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (200 mL) and washed with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 x 40 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 40 mL) and the  
35 combined organic fractions were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the crude oil by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with 30% ether in hexanes afforded alcohol **7A** (2.30 g, 89%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) 3488,

1471, 1428, 1115, 1054  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  7.70 (6 H, dd,  $J = 8.0, 1.5$  Hz), 7.44 (9 H, s), 4.57 (1 H, d,  $J = 3.5$  Hz), 4.19 (1 H, s), 3.67 (1 H, d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz), 3.06 (1 H, dd,  $J = 11.5, 5.0$  Hz), 2.89 (1 H, dd,  $J = 11.5, 5.0$  Hz), 2.68 (1 H, d,  $J = 13.5$  Hz), 2.59 (1 H, d,  $J = 13.5$  Hz), 2.34 (1 H, dt,  $J = 12.0, 2.5$  Hz), 2.11 (1 H, m), 1.84 (1 H, dt,  $J = 12.0, 2.5$  Hz), 1.76 (2 H, m), 1.59 (2 H, m), 1.34 (3 H, s), 1.13 (3 H, d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 1.10 (3 H, s), 0.87 (9 H, s), 0.84 (3 H, d,  $J = 12.0$  Hz), 0.02 (3 H, s), 0.01 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  136.18, 134.66, 130.16, 127.84, 78.41, 75.91, 63.65, 59.69, 45.43, 45.09, 37.72, 30.84, 30.50, 26.23, 25.89, 22.42, 21.05, 18.40, 15.60, 14.41, -3.23, -3.51;  $[\alpha]_D = -0.95$  ( $c = 0.173$ ,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

#### 10 EXAMPLE 14

To a solution of oxalyl chloride (414  $\mu\text{L}$ , 4.74 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (40 mL) at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  was added dropwise DMSO (448  $\mu\text{L}$ , 6.32 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min. Alcohol 7a (2.12 g, 3.16 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (20 mL) was added and the resulting white suspension was stirred at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  for 45 min. The reaction mixture was quenched with  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (2.2 mL, 15.8 mmol) and the solution was allowed to warm to  $0^\circ\text{C}$  and stirred at this temperature for 30 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (100 mL) and washed successively with aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (20 mL), water (20 mL), and brine (20 mL). The crude aldehyde was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with 5%  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  in hexanes to provide aldehyde 8 (1.90 g, 90%) as a colorless oil.

#### 20 EXAMPLE 15

A solution of (methoxymethyl)triphenylphosphonium chloride (2.97 g, 8.55 mmol) in THF (25 mL) at  $0^\circ\text{C}$  was treated with KO<sup>t</sup>Bu (8.21 mL, 1M in THF, 8.1 mmol). The mixture was stirred at  $0^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min. Aldehyde 8 (3.1 g, 4.07 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added and the resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (40 mL) and the resulting solution extracted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (3 x 30 mL). The combined  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  fractions were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with 5%  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  in hexanes to yield compound 9 (2.83 g, 86%) as a colorless foam.

#### 30 EXAMPLE 16

To a solution of compound 9 (2.83 g, 3.50 mmol) in dioxane/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (9:1, 28 mL) was added pTSA· $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1.0 g, 5.30 mmol) and the resulting mixture was heated to  $50^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature the mixture was diluted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (50 mL) and washed with aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (15 mL), brine (20 mL), dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , filtered, and concentrated to provide aldehyde 9a (2.75 g, 99%) as a colorless foam.

#### 35 EXAMPLE 17

Methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (1.98 g, 5.54 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at 0 °C was treated with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (5.04 mL, 1M in THF, 5.04 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. Aldehyde **9a** (2.0 g, 2.52 mmol) in THF (5.0 mL) was added and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (15 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 20 mL). The combined Et<sub>2</sub>O fractions were washed with brine (15 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with 5% Et<sub>2</sub>O in hexanes to afford compound **10** (1.42 g, 76%) as a colorless foam.

#### EXAMPLE 18

A solution of compound **10** (1.0 g, 1.34 mmol) in MeOH/THF (2:1, 13 mL) was treated with [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodobenzene] (865 mg, 2.01 mmol) at room temperature. After 15 min the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (25 mL). The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 25 mL) and the combined Et<sub>2</sub>O fractions were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with 5% Et<sub>2</sub>O in hexanes provided compound **11** (865 mg, 92%) as a colorless foam: IR (film) 1428, 1252, 1114, 1075, 1046 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 7.61 (6 H, dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.4 Hz), 7.38 (9 H, s), 5.47 (1 H, m), 4.87 (1 H, d, *J* = 10.0 Hz), 4.76 (1 H, d, *J* = 15.9 Hz), 4.30 (1 H, d, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 3.95 (1 H, s), 3.56 (1 H, dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.4 Hz), 3.39 (3 H, s), 2.84 (3 H, s), 2.02 (1 H, m), 1.64 (2 H, m), 1.34 (1 H, m), 1.11 (3 H, s), 1.02 (3 H, d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 0.90 (3 H, s), 0.85 (9 H, s), 0.62 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz), -0.04 (3 H, s), -0.05 (3 H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 138.29, 135.79, 135.04, 129.86, 127.78, 114.98, 110.49, 60.11, 55.57, 46.47, 43.91, 36.82, 34.21, 26.26, 19.60, 18.60, 17.08, 16.16, 13.92, -2.96, -3.84; [α]<sub>D</sub> = +1.74 (*c* = 0.77, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### EXAMPLE 19

**Suzuki Coupling:** To a solution of olefin **11** (0.680 g, 1.07 mmol) in THF (8.0 mL) was added 9-BBN (0.5 M soln in THF, 2.99 mL, 1.50 mmol). In a separate flask, the iodide **19** (0.478 g, 1.284 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (10.0 mL). CsCO<sub>3</sub> (0.696 g, 2.14 mmol) was then added with vigorous stirring followed by sequential addition of Ph<sub>3</sub>As (0.034 g, 0.111 mmol), PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf)<sub>2</sub> (0.091 g, 0.111 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.693 mL, 38.5 mmol). After 4 h, then borane solution was added to the iodide mixture in DMF. The reaction quickly turned dark brown in color and slowly became pale yellow after 2 h. The reaction was then poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 50 mL). The combined organics were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 x 50 mL), once with brine (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:1) gave 0.630 g (75%) of the coupled product **20** as a pale yellow oil.

#### EXAMPLE 20



Hydrolysis of dimethyl acetal **21**: The acetate **20** (0.610 g, 0.770 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1, 15 mL) and *p*-TSA·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.442 g, 2.32 mmol) was added. The mixture was then heated to 55°C. After 3 h, the mixture was cooled to rt and poured into Et<sub>2</sub>O. This solution was washed once with sat NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (30 mL), once with brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:1) gave 0.486 g (85%) of the aldehyde **21** as a pale yellow oil. IR (film) 1737, 1429, 1237, 1115, 1053 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 9.74 (1 H, s), 7.61 (6 H, dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.2 Hz), 7.38 (9 H, m), 6.94 (1 H, s), 6.53 (1 H, s), 5.39 (1 H, m), 5.31 (1 H, m), 5.29 (1 H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 4.61 (1 H, d, *J* = 4.3 Hz), 3.50 (1 H, dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.6 Hz), 2.70 (3 H, s), 2.48 (2 H, m), 2.14 (1 H, m), 2.09 (3 H, s), 2.07 (3 H, s), 1.83 (2 H, m), 1.41 (1 H, m), 1.18 (1 H, m), 1.01 (3 H, s), 0.99 (3 H, s), 0.91 (3 H, d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 0.85 (9 H, s), 0.69 (1 H, m), 0.58 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz), -0.05 (3 H, s), -0.06 (3 H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 205.46, 170.01, 164.49, 152.46, 137.10, 135.60, 134.22, 132.55, 130.65, 127.84, 123.82, 120.66, 116.19, 81.09, 78.47, 76.73, 51.66, 43.14, 38.98, 30.99, 30.42, 27.63, 26.10, 21.15, 20.92, 20.05, 19.15, 18.49, 15.12, 14.70, 12.75, -3.25, -4.08; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -18.7 (c = 0.53, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### EXAMPLE 21

Aldol: To a solution of the acetate-aldehyde **21** (84 mg, 0.099 mmol) in THF at -78°C was added KHMDS (0.5M in toluene, 1.0 ml, 0.5 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at -78°C for 30 min. Then the reaction mixture was cannulated to a short pad of silica gel and washed with ether. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, 12% EtOAc in hexane) to give the lactone **22** (37 mg of **3-S** and 6 mg of **3-R**, 51%) as white foam.

#### EXAMPLE 22

Monodeprotection: Lactone **22** (32 mg, 0.0376 mmol) was treated with 1ml of pyridine buffered HF·pyridine - THF solution at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed in sequence with saturated CuSO<sub>4</sub> (10 ml x 3) and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 ml), then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, 25% EtOAc in hexane) and to give diol **22a** (22 mg, 99%) as white foam.

#### EXAMPLE 23

TBS-protection: To a cooled (-30°C) solution of diol **22a** (29 mg, 0.0489 mmol) and 2,6-lutidine (0.017 ml, 0.147 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1ml) was added TBSOTf (0.015 ml, 0.0646 mmol). The resulting solution was then stirred at -30°C for 30 min. The reaction was quenched with 0.5M HCl (10 ml) and extracted with ether (15 ml). Ether layer was washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue

by flash chromatography (silica, 8% EtOAc in hexane) afforded TBS ether **22B** (32 mg, 93%) as white foam.

#### EXAMPLE 24

Ketone Formation: To a solution of alcohol **22B** (30 mg, 0.0424 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2.0 mL) at

- 5 25°C was added Dess-Martin periodinane (36 mg, 0.0848 mmol) in one portion. The resulting solution was then allowed to stir at 25°C for 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 1:1 saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate: sodium thiosulfate (10 ml) and stirred for 5 min. The mixture was then extracted with ether (3 x 15 ml). The organic layer was dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash
- 10 chromatography (silica, 8% EtOAc in hexane) provided ketone **22C** (25 mg, 84%) as white foam. IR(film): 2928, 1745, 1692, 1254, 1175, 836  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  6.97 (s, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 5.53 (dt,  $J = 3.4, 11.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.37 (dd,  $J = 16.4, 9.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.00 (d,  $J = 10.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.02 (d,  $J = 9.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.89 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.00 (m, 1H), 2.82 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.71 (m, 5H), 2.36 (q,  $J = 10.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.12 (t, 3H), 2.07 (dd,  $J = 8.2, 1$  Hz), 1.87
- 15 (bs, 1H), 1.49 (m, 3H), 1.19 (m, 5H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.08 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 3H), 0.94 (m, 12H), 0.84 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 3H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), -0.098 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  218.7, 170.1, 164.5, 152.6, 137.9, 133.9, 124.8, 119.6, 115.9, 72.7, 53.2, 43.9, 41.0, 40.3, 32.9, 32.3, 28.4, 27.1, 26.3, 26.1, 26.0, 19.2, 19.1, 18.3, 18.2, 17.1, 16.0, 15.2, 14.3, -4.2, -4.4, -4.6, -4.8;  $[\alpha]_D = -21.93$  ( $c = 1.4$ ,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

#### EXAMPLE 25

Desoxy compound: To a solution of TBS ether **22C** (27 mg, 0.038 mmol) in THF (1 ml) at 25°C in a plastic vial was added dropwise HF-pyridine (0.5 ml). The resulting solution was allowed to stir at 25°C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform (2 ml) and very slowly added to saturated sodium bicarbonate (20 ml). The mixture was extracted with

- 25  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (20 ml x 3). The organic layer was dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (silica, 30% EtOAc in hexane) provided diol **23** (18 mg, 99%) as white foam: IR(film): 3493, 2925, 1728, 1689, 1249  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  6.96 (s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 5.44 (dt,  $J = 4.3, 10.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.36 (dt,  $J = 5.1, 10.2$  Hz, 1H), 5.28 (dd,  $J = 1.7, 9.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.11 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 1H),
- 30 3.20 (d,  $J = 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.14 (dd,  $J = 2.2, 6.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.00 (s, 1H), 2.69 (m, 4H), 2.49 (dd,  $J = 11.3, 15.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.35 (dd,  $J = 2.5, 15.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.27 (m, 1H), 2.05 (m, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.67 (m, 1H), 1.33 (m, 4H), 1.21 (s, 1H), 1.19 (m, 2H), 1.08 (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.93 (d,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  226.5, 176.5, 171.1, 158.2, 144.7, 139.6, 131.1, 125.7, 122.0, 84.6, 80.2, 78.6, 59.4, 47.9, 45.4,
- 35 44.6, 38.5, 37.9, 33.7, 33.6, 28.7, 25.1, 25.0, 21.9, 21.7, 19.6;  $[\alpha]_D = -84.7$  ( $c = 0.85$ ,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

#### EXAMPLE 26

**Epothilone:** To a cooled (-50°C) solution of desoxyepothilone (9 mg, 0.0189 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 ml) was added freshly prepared dimethyldioxirane (0.95 ml, 0.1 M in acetone). The resulting solution was allowed to warm up to -30°C for 2 h. A stream of nitrogen was then bubbled through the solution to remove excess DMDO. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, 40% EtOAc in hexane) and afforded epothilone A (4.6 mg, 49%) as colorless solid and 0.1mg of cis-epoxide diastereomer. This material was identical with the natural epothilone A in all respects.

#### EXAMPLE 27

**Procedure for Ring-closing Olefin Metathesis:**

- 10 To a stirred solution of diene **24** (5 mg, 0.0068 mmol) in dry benzene (1.5 mL) was added Grubbs's catalyst (2.8 mg, 0.0034 mmol). After 12 h, an additional portion of catalyst was added (2.8 mg). After an additional 5 h, the reaction was concentrated. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (11:1) gave the lactone **23** (3.5 mg, 94%, 2:1 E/Z).

#### EXAMPLE 28

**Preparation of Compound 19:**

**Alcohol 2A:** A mixture of (S)-(-)-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (259 mg, 0.91 mmol), Ti(O-*i*-Pr)<sub>4</sub> (261 µL; 0.90 mmol), and 4 Å sieves (3.23g) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (16 mL) was heated at reflux for 1 h. The mixture was cooled to rt and aldehyde **1** was added. After 10 min. the suspension was cooled to -78°C, and allyl tributyltin (3.6 mL; 11.60 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at -78 °C and then placed in a -20 °C freezer for 70 h. Saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h, poured over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and then filtered through a pad of MgSO<sub>4</sub> and celite. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 1:1) to give alcohol **2A** as a yellow oil (1.11g; 60%).

#### EXAMPLE 29

- Acetate 3A:** To a solution of alcohol **2A** (264 mg; 1.26 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (12 mL) was added DMAP (15mg; 0.098 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.45 mL; 3.22 mmol), and Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.18 mL; 1.90 mmol). After 2h, the reaction mixture was quenched by 20 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O, and extracted with EtOAc (4 x 20 mL). The combined organic layer was dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:3) afforded acetate **3A** as a yellow oil (302 mg; 96%).

#### EXAMPLE 30

- Vinyl Iodide 19:** To a solution of acetate **3A** (99 mg; 0.39 mmol) in acetone at 0 °C was added H<sub>2</sub>O (4 drops), OsO<sub>4</sub> (2.5% wt. in butyl alcohol; 175 µL; 0.018 mmol), and N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide (69 mg; 0.59 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2h and 45 min and then quenched with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>. The solution was poured to 10 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted

with EtOAc (5 x 10mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated.

To a solution of this crude product in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (4 mL, 3:1) was added NaIO<sub>4</sub> (260 mg; 1.22 mmol). After 1.25 h, the reaction mixture was then quenched with 10 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and concentrated. The residue was extracted with EtOAc (5 x 10mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:1) gave a yellow oil (80 mg) which contained unidentified by-product(s). This mixture was used without further purification.

To a solution of (Ph<sub>3</sub>P<sup>+</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>I)<sup>-</sup> (100 mg; 0.19 mmol) in 0.25 mL of THF at rt was added 0.15 mL (0.15 mmol) of NaHMDS (1M in THF). To the resulting solution at -78 °C was added HMPA (22  $\mu$ L; 0.13 mmol) and the product from previous step (16 mg) in THF (0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was then stirred at rt for 30 min. After the addition of hexanes (10mL), the solution was extracted with EtOAc (4 x 10mL). The combined EtOAc layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated. Preparative TLC (EtOAc/hexanes, 2:3) afforded vinyl iodide **19** as a yellow oil (14 mg; 50% for three steps).

#### EXAMPLE 31

**Iodoolefin acetate 8C:** To a suspension of ethyltriphenylphosphonium iodide (1.125 g, 2.69 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added *n*BuLi (2.5 M soln in hexanes, 1.05 mL, 2.62 mmol) at rt. After disappearance of the solid material, the solution was added to a mixture of iodine (0.613 g, 2.41 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at -78 °C. The resulting suspension was vigorously stirred for 5 min at -78 °C, then warmed up -20 °C, and treated with sodium hexamethyldisilazane (1 M soln in THF, 2.4 mL, 2.4 mmol). The resulting red solution was stirred for 5 min followed by the slow addition of aldehyde **9C** (0.339 g, 1.34 mmol). The mixture was stirred at -20 °C for 40 min, diluted with pentane (50 mL), filtered through a pad of celite, and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 85:15) gave 0.202 g (25% overall from vinyl acetate **10C**) of the vinyl iodide **8C** as a yellow oil. IR (film): 2920, 1738, 1234 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.98 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 5.42 (dd, *J* = 5.43, 6.57 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (s, 3H), 2.54 (q, *J* = 6.33, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  170.1, 164.6, 152.4, 136.9, 130.2, 120.6, 116.4, 103.6, 40.3, 33.7, 21.2, 19.2, 14.9; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -20.7 ° (c = 2.45, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### EXAMPLE 32

**Acetal 13C:** To a solution of olefin "7C" (0.082 g, 0.13 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added 9-BBN (0.5 M soln in THF, 0.4 mL, 0.2 mmol). After stirring at rt. for 3.5 h, an additional portion of 9-BBN (0.5 M soln in THF, 0.26 mL, 0.13 mmol) was added. In a separate flask, iodide **8C** (0.063 g, 0.16 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (0.5 mL). Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.097 g, 0.30 mmol) was then added with vigorous stirring followed by sequential addition of PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf)<sub>2</sub> (0.018 g, 0.022 mmol), Ph<sub>3</sub>As (0.0059 g, 0.019 mmol), and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.035 mL, 1.94 mmol).

- After 6 h, then borane solution was added to the iodide mixture in DMF. The reaction quickly turned dark brown in color and slowly became pale yellow after 3 h. The reaction was then poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 15 mL), brine (1 x 20 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 9:1) gave 0.089 g (77%) of the coupled product **13C** as a yellow oil.

#### EXAMPLE 33

- Aldehyde 14C:** Acetal **13C** (0.069 g, 0.077 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1, 1 mL) and pTSA·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.045 g, 0.237 mmol) was added. The mixture was then heated to 55°C. After 3 h, the mixture was cooled to rt, poured into Et<sub>2</sub>O, and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4 x 15 mL). The combined ether solutions were washed with sat NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1 x 30 mL), brine (1 x 30 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 3:1) gave 0.046 g (71%) of the aldehyde **14C** as a pale yellow oil.

#### EXAMPLE 34

- Macrocycle 15C-(SR):** To a solution of aldehyde **14C** (0.021 g, 0.024 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at -78 °C was added KHMDS (0.5 M soln in toluene, 0.145 mL, 0.073 mmol). The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, then quenched with sat'd NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, and extracted with ether (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 7:1) gave 0.008 g of the desired α-alcohol **15C-(S)** and 0.006 g of β-alcohol **15C-(R)** (67% total) as pale yellow oils.

#### EXAMPLE 35

- Macrocycle 15C-(S):** To a solution of β-alcohol **15C-(R)** (0.006 g, 0.0070 mmol) in 0.5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at rt. was added Dess-Martin periodinane (0.028g, 0.066 mmol). After 0.5 h, an additional portion of Dess-Martin periodinane (0.025 mg, 0.059 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for additional 1 h, then treated with ether (2 mL) and sat'd Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/sat'd NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3 mL, 1:1), poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL), and extracted with ether (4 x 10 mL). The combined ether solutions were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (1 x 30 mL), brine (1 x 30 mL), dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. To a solution of crude ketone **15C'** in MeOH/THF (2 mL, 1:1) at -78 °C was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.015 g, 0.395 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 h, quenched with sat NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, and extracted with ether (3 x 15 mL). The organic layers were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 9:1) gave 0.0040 g (67%) of the α-alcohol **15C-(S)** as a pale yellow oil and 0.0006 g of β-alcohol **15C-(R)**.

#### EXAMPLE 36

- Diol 15C'':** The silyl ether **15C-(S)** (0.010 g, 0.012 mmol) was dissolved in HF·pyridine/pyridine/THF (1 mL). The solution was stirred at rt. for 2 h, then diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (1 mL), poured into a mixture of Et<sub>2</sub>O/sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL, 1:1), and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O

(4 x 10 mL). The Et<sub>2</sub>O solutions were washed with sat CuSO<sub>4</sub> (3 x 30 mL), sat NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1 x 30 mL), brine (1 x 30 mL), dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 9:1) gave 0.0066 g (93%) of the diol **15C''** as a pale yellow oil.

5

#### **EXAMPLE 37**

**Alcohol 15C'''**: To a solution of diol **15C''** (0.0066 g, 0.011 mmol) in 0.5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -78 °C was added 2,6-lutidine (7 µL, 0.060 mmol) and TBSOTf (5 µL, 0.022 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at -30 °C for 0.5 h, then quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL), and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4 x 10 mL). The ether solutions were washed with 0.5 M HCl (1 x 10 mL), sat'd NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 93:7) gave 0.0070 g (89%) of the alcohol **15C'''** as a pale yellow oil.

10

#### **EXAMPLE 38**

**Ketone 16C**: To a solution of alcohol **15C'''** (0.006 g, 0.0083 mmol) in 0.5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at rt. was added Dess-Martin periodinane (0.030g, 0.071 mmol). After 1.25 h, another portion of Dess-Martin periodinane (0.025 mg, 0.059 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at rt for additional 0.75 h, treated with ether (1 mL) and sat'd Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/sat'd NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL, 1:1), poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL), and extracted with ether (4 x 10 mL). The ether solution was washed with sat NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1 x 20 mL), dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 9:1) gave 0.0040 g (67%) of the ketone **16C** as a pale yellow oil.

15

20

#### **EXAMPLE 39**

**Desoxyepothilone B (2C)**: To a solution of ketone **16C** (0.004 g, 0.0056 mmol) in THF (0.35 mL) was added HF·pyridine (0.25 mL) dropwise over 20 min. The solution was stirred at rt for 1.5 h, diluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 mL), poured into sat'd NaHCO<sub>3</sub>/CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mL, 1:1) slowly, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4 x 10 mL). The combined CHCl<sub>3</sub> layers were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 3:1) gave 0.0022 g (80%) of the desoxyepothilone B **2C** as a pale yellow oil.

25

#### **EXAMPLE 40**

**Epothilone B (2)**: To a solution of desoxyepothilone B (0.0022 g, 0.0041 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.25 mL) at -50 °C was added dimethyldioxirane (0.1 mL, 0.0095 mmol) dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at -50 °C for 1 h. The dimethyldioxirane and solvent were removed by a stream of N<sub>2</sub>. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 1:1) gave 0.0015 g (70%) of epothilone B (**2**) as a pale yellow oil which was identical with an authentic sample in <sup>1</sup>H NMR, IR, mass spectrum, and [α]<sub>D</sub>.

30

35

#### **EXAMPLE 41**

##### **8-Desmethylepothilone A**

**Crotylation product:** To a stirred mixture of potassium *tert*-butoxide (1.0 M soln in THF, 50.4 mL, 50.4 mmol), THF (14 mL), and *cis*-2-butene (9.0 mL, 101 mmol) at  $-78^{\circ}\text{C}$  was added *n*-BuLi (1.6 M, in hexanes, 31.5 mL, 50.4 mmol). After complete addition of *n*-BuLi, the mixture was stirred at  $-45^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 10 min and then cooled to  $-78^{\circ}\text{C}$ . (+)-*B*-

- 5 Methoxydiisopinocampheylborane (19.21 g, 60.74 mmol) was then added dropwise in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL). After 30 min, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (7.47 mL, 60.74 mmol) was added followed by aldehyde **4D** (9.84 g, 60.74 mmol) in THF (15 mL) generating a viscous solution which could not be stirred. The mixture was shaken vigorously every 10 min to ensure homogeneity. After 3 h at  $-78^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the reaction was treated with 3N NaOH (36.6 mL, 110 mmol) and 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (15 mL)
- 10 and the solution brought to reflux for 1 h. The reaction was poured into Et<sub>2</sub>O (300 mL) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The crude material was placed in a bulb-to-bulb distillation apparatus to remove the ligand from the desired product. Heating at  $80^{\circ}\text{C}$  at 2 mm Hg removed 90% of the lower boiling ligand. Further purification of the alcohol **4D** was achieved by flash chromatography on silica gel
- 15 eluting with Et<sub>2</sub>O in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2% → 4%) to give pure alcohol **4D** as a clear oil. The erythro selectivity was > 50:1 as judged by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. The product was determined to be 87% ee by formation of the Mosher ester: IR (film): 3435, 2861, 1454, 1363, 1099 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.34 (5 H, m), 5.80 (1 H, m), 5.09 (1 H, dd, *J* = 1.6, 8.3 Hz), 5.04 (1 H, d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 4.52 (2 H, s), 3.51 (2 H, t, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 3.47 (1 H, m), 2.27 (2 H,
- 20 m), 1.73 (3 H, m), 1.42 (1 H, m), 1.04 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  141.1, 138.2, 128.3, 127.6, 127.5, 115.0, 74.5, 72.9, 70.4, 43.7, 31.3, 26.5, 14.6.

#### EXAMPLE 42

- TBS ether 5D:** Alcohol **4D** (5.00 g, 21.4 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL) and 2,6-lutidine (9.97 mL, 85.6 mmol) was added. The mixture was cooled to  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  and TBSOTf (9.83
- 25 mL, 42.8 mmol) was slowly added. The reaction was then warmed to rt. After 1 h, the reaction was poured into Et<sub>2</sub>O (300 mL) and washed once with 1 N HCl (50 mL), once with sat NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (50 mL), once with brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/diethyl ether (97:3) gave 6.33 g (85%) of pure olefin **5D** as a clear oil: IR (film): 1472, 1361, 1255, 1097,
  - 30 1068 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.30 (5 H, m), 5.81 (1 H, m), 4.97 (1 H, dd, *J* = 1.4, 4.8 Hz), 4.94 (1 H, d, *J* = 1.1 Hz), 3.51 (1 H, q, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 3.41 (2 H, dt, *J* = 2.1, 6.6 Hz), 2.27 (1 H, q, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 1.68 (1 h, m), 1.55 (1 H, m), 1.41 (2 H, m), 0.93 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 0.85 (9 H, s), -0.01 (6 H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  141.2, 138.6, 128.3, 127.6, 127.4, 113.9, 75.6, 72.7, 70.6, 42.7, 30.1, 25.9, 25.4, 18.1, 15.1, -4.3, -4.4.

35

#### EXAMPLE 43

**Aldehyde 6D:** The olefin **5** (4.00 g, 11.49 mmol) was dissolved in 1:1 MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL). Pyridine (4.0 mL) was then added and the mixture cooled to  $-78^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Ozone was then

bubbled through the reaction for 10 minutes before the color turned light blue in color. Oxygen was then bubbled through the reaction for 10 min. Dimethyl sulfide (4.0 mL) was then added and the reaction slowly warmed to rt. The reaction was stirred overnight and then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel

5 eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (9:1) gave 3.31 g (82%) of the aldehyde **6D** as a clear oil: IR (film): 2856, 1727, 1475, 1361, 1253, 1102  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.76 (1 H, s), 7.33 (5 H, m), 4.50 (2 H, s), 4.11 (1 H, m), 3.47 (2 H, m), 2.46 (1 H, m), 1.50-1.70 (4 H, band), 1.05 (3 H, d,  $J$  = 7.0 Hz), 0.86 (9 H, s), 0.06 (3 H, s), 0.03 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  204.8, 138.3, 128.2, 127.4, 127.3, 72.7, 71.7, 69.9, 51.1, 31.1, 25.9,  
10 25.6, 17.8, 7.5, -4.4, -4.8.

#### EXAMPLE 44

**Dianion addition product 7D:** The tert-butyl isobutyrylacetate (0.653 g, 3.51 mmol) was added to a suspension of NaH (60% in mineral oil, 0.188 g, 4.69 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at rt. After 10 min, the mixture was cooled to 0°C. After an additional 10 min, *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in  
15 hexanes, 2.20 mL, 3.52 mmol) was slowly added. After 30 min, the aldehyde **6D** (1.03 g, 2.93 mmol) was added neat. After 10 min, the reaction was quenched with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (10 mL) and extracted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (2 x 75 mL). The combined organics were washed once with brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . The crude reaction mixture contained a 15:1 ratio of diastereomers at C5. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with  
20 hexanes/ethyl acetate (9:1  $\rightarrow$  7:1) gave 0.723 g (47%) of the desired alcohol **7D** as a clear oil: IR (film): 3531, 2953, 1739, 1702, 1367, 1255, 1153  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.33 (5 H, m), 4.49 (2 H, s), 3.75 (1 H, d,  $J$  = 2.6 Hz), 3.71 (1 H, m), 3.62 (1 H, d,  $J$  = 16.0 Hz), 3.53 (1 H, d,  $J$  = 16.0 Hz), 3.44 (2 H, t,  $J$  = 5.1 Hz), 2.70 (1 H, d,  $J$  = 2.6 Hz), 1.83 (1 H, m), 1.55 (4 H, m), 1.46 (9 H, s), 1.17 (3 H, s), 1.11 (3 H, s), 0.89 (9 H, s), 0.82 (3 H, d,  $J$  =  
25 7.0 Hz), 0.09 (6 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  208.9, 167.3, 138.4, 128.3, 127.6, 127.5, 81.3, 79.5, 78.7, 72.8, 70.1, 52.4, 47.6, 35.8, 30.6, 28.2, 25.9, 25.8, 22.6, 20.5, 17.9, 7.05, -4.0, -4.5.

#### EXAMPLE 45

**Directed reduction:** To a solution of tetramethylammonium triacetoxymethylborohydride (1.54 g, 5.88 mmol) in acetonitrile (4.0 mL) was added anhydrous AcOH (4.0 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min before cooling to -10°C. A solution of the ester **7D** (0.200 g, 0.39 mmol) in acetonitrile (1.0 mL) was added to the reaction and it was stirred at -10°C for 20 h. The reaction was quenched with 1N sodium-potassium tartrate (10 mL) and stirred at rt for 10 min. The solution was then poured into sat  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (25 mL) and neutralized by the addition  
35 of solid  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ . The mixture was then extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL) and the organics were washed with brine (20 mL) and dried over anhydrous  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . Purification by flash



chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (4:1) gave 0.100 g (50%) of the diol as 10:1 ratio of diastereomeric alcohols.

#### EXAMPLE 46

**Monoprotection of the diol:** The diol (1.76 g, 3.31 mmol) was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL) and cooled to  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . 2,6-lutidine (12.2 mL, 9.92 mmol) was added followed by TBSOTf (1.14 mL, 4.96 mmol) and the reaction slowly warmed to rt. After 1 h, the reaction was poured into  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (300 mL) and washed once with 1N HCl (50 mL), once with sat  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (50 mL), once with brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (20:1  $\rightarrow$  15:1) gave 2.03 g (95%) of the alcohol **8D** as a clear oil, which was used as a mixture of diastereomers.

#### EXAMPLE 47

**C5 Ketone formation:** The alcohol **8D** (2.03 g, 3.14 mmol) was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (50 mL) and Dess-Martin periodinane (2.66 g, 6.28 mmol) was added. After 2 h, a 1:1 mixture of sat'd  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ /sat  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  (20 mL) was added. After 10 min, the mixture was poured into  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (300 mL) and the organic layer was washed with brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (15:1) gave 1.85 g (91%) of the ketone (benzyl ether) as a clear oil, which was used as a mixture of diastereomers.

#### EXAMPLE 48

**Debenzylation:** The ketone (benzyl ether) (1.85 g, 2.87 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (50 mL), and  $\text{Pd}(\text{OH})_2$  (0.5 g) was added. The mixture was then stirred under an atmosphere of  $\text{H}_2$ . After 3 h, the reaction was purged with  $\text{N}_2$  and then filtered through a pad of celite rinsing with  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (100 mL). Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate in hexanes (12%  $\rightarrow$  15%) gave 1.43 g (90%) of the diastereomeric alcohols as a clear oil. The C3 diastereomers were separated by flash chromatography on TLC-grade  $\text{SiO}_2$  eluting with ethyl acetate in hexanes (15%):

Alpha isomer: IR (film): 3447, 1732, 1695, 1254, 1156  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  4.24 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 3.6, 5.8 Hz), 3.83 (1 H, m), 3.53 (1 H, m), 3.06 (1 H, t,  $J$  = 7.1 Hz), 2.36 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 3.6, 17.2 Hz), 2.12 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 3.9, 17.2 Hz), 1.68 (1 H, t,  $J$  = 5.4 Hz), 1.54 (2 H, m), 1.41 (1 H, m), 1.37 (9 H, s), 1.31 (1 H, m), 1.16 (3 H, s), 1.02 (3 H, s), 0.99 (3 H, d,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz), 0.84 (9 H, s), 0.81 (9 H, s), 0.05 (3 H, s), 0.01 (6 H, s), -0.01 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  217.7, 171.3, 80.57, 73.5, 73.1, 63.0, 53.4, 26.8, 41.2, 32.1, 28.1, 28.0, 26.0, 25.9, 23.1, 19.8, 18.1 (overlapping), 15.3, -4.0, -4.3 (overlapping), -4.8.

Beta isomer: IR (film): 3442, 2857, 1732, 1700, 1472, 1368, 1255  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  4.45 (1 H, t,  $J$  = 5.3 Hz), 3.86 (1 H, m), 3.52 (2 H, q,  $J$  = 5.9 Hz), 3.01 (1 H, m), 2.28 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 4.3, 17.1 Hz), 2.16 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 5.5, 17.1 Hz), 1.67 (1 H, t,  $J$  = 5.6

Hz), 1.56 (2 H, m), 1.44 (1 H, m), 1.37 (9 H, s), 1.34 (1 H, m), 1.13 (3 H, s), 0.97 (3 H, d,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz), 0.96 (3 H, s), 0.83 (9 H, s), 0.79 (9 H, s), 0.01 (3 H, s), 0.00 (6 H, s), -0.07 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  217.1, 171.2, 80.6, 73.5, 72.1, 62.9, 63.9, 46.4, 41.2, 32.0, 28.1, 28.0, 26.0, 25.9, 21.5, 19.5, 18.2, 18.1, 15.8, -4.0, -4.3, -4.4, -4.7.

5

#### EXAMPLE 49

**Aldehyde formation:** DMSO (0.177 mL, 2.50 mmol) was added to a mixture of oxalyl chloride (0.11 mL, 1.25 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (15 mL) at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ . After 10 min, the alcohol (0.531 g, 0.96 mmol) was added in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (4 mL). After 20 min, TEA (0.697 mL, 5.00 mmol) was added to the reaction followed by warming to rt. The reaction was then poured into  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (50 mL) and extracted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (3 x 50 mL). The organics were washed once with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (30 mL), once with brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . The aldehyde was used in crude form.

10

#### EXAMPLE 50

**Wittig olefination to give 9D:** NaHMDS (1.0 M soln in THF, 1.54 mL, 1.54 mmol) was added to a suspension of methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide (0.690 g, 1.92 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . After 1 h, the crude aldehyde (0.96 mmol) was added in THF (5 mL). After 15 min at  $0^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.1 mL) was added and the reaction poured into hexanes (50 mL). This was filtered through a plug of silica gel eluting with hexanes/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (9:1, 150 mL). The crude olefin **9D** was further purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate in hexanes (5%) to give 0.437 g (83% for two steps) of the olefin **9D** as a clear oil: IR (film): 2857, 1732, 1695, 1472, 1368, 1255, 1156  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  5.72 (1 H, m), 4.91 (2 H, m), 4.25 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 3.9, 5.4 Hz), 3.81 (1 H, m), 3.05 (1 H, m), 2.38 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 7.9, 17.2 Hz), 2.12 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 6.6, 17.2 Hz), 2.04 (2 H, q,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz), 1.47 (1 H, m), 1.39 (9 H, s), 1.34 (1 H, m), 1.20 (3 H, s), 1.00 (3 H, s), 3.00 (3 H, d,  $J$  = 6.7 Hz), 0.85 (9 H, s), 0.83 (9 H, s), 0.07 (3 H, s), 0.00 (6 H, s), -0.05 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  217.5, 172.1, 137.9, 114.0, 80.4, 74.0, 73.0, 53.0, 46.9, 41.3, 35.1, 29.0, 28.1, 26.0, 25.9, 22.8, 20.2, 18.2 (overlapping), 14.9, -4.1, -4.2, -4.3, -4.8.

20

25

#### EXAMPLE 51

**TBS ester 10D:** The olefin **9D** (0.420 g, 0.76 mmol) was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (15 mL) and treated successively with 2,6-lutidine (1.33 mL, 11.4 mmol) and TBSOTf (1.32 mL, 5.73 mmol). After 7 h, the reaction was poured into  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (100 mL) and washed successively with 0.2N HCl (25 mL), brine (20 mL) and dried over anhydrous  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . The residue was purified by flash chromatography on a short pad of silica gel with fast elution with hexanes/ethyl acetate (20:1) to give the TBS ester **10D** as a clear oil. The purification must be done quickly to avoid hydrolysis of the silyl ester: IR (film): 2930, 1721, 1695, 1472, 1254, 1091  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  5.73 (1 H, m), 4.91 (2 H, m), 4.25 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 3.8, 5.4 Hz) 3.80 (1 H, q,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz), 3.06 (1 H, m), 2.50 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 3.7, 17.3 Hz), 2.19 (1 H, dd,  $J$  = 5.7,

30

35

17.3 Hz), 2.04 (2 H, dd,  $J = 7.6, 15.3$  Hz), 1.49 (1 H, m), 1.36 (1 H, m), 1.21 (3 H, s), 1.00 (3 H, d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 0.88 (9 H, s), 0.85 (9 H, s), 0.83 (9 H, s), 0.22 (3 H, s), 0.22 (3 H, s), 0.21 (3 H, s), 0.06 (3 H, s), 0.01 (6 H, s), -0.05 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  217.3, 172.3, 138.5, 114.4, 74.5, 73.0, 53.2, 46.9, 41.8, 35.1, 29.0, 26.0, 25.7, 25.5, 22.8, 20.4, 18.2, 18.1, 17.5, 14.9, -2.9, -4.0, -4.2, -4.3, -4.8, -4.9.

#### EXAMPLE 52

**Suzuki coupling:** The acetate acid **13D** was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:1  $\rightarrow$  4:1). This was further purified by preparative-TLC eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (2:1) to remove unreacted vinyl iodide **12D** from the acetate acid **13D**. Isolated yield of the acid was 0.297 g (62% based on 90% purity with borane residues).

#### EXAMPLE 53

**Hydrolysis of acetate acid 13D:** The acetate **13D** (0.220 g, 0.297 mmol) was dissolved in  $\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (2:1, 15 mL) and  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (0.300 g) was added. After 3 h, the reaction was diluted with sat.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (20 mL) and extracted with  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (5 x 20 mL). The hydroxy-acid **14D** was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (4:1  $\rightarrow$  2:1) to give 0.146 g (70%) of the pure hydroxy acid **14D**. IR (film): 3510-2400, 1712, 1694, 1471, 1254, 1093  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.96 (1 H, s), 6.66 (1 H, s), 5.55 (1 H, m), 5.38 (1 H, m), 4.38 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.4, 6.1$  Hz), 4.19 (1 H, t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 3.84 (1 H, m), 3.05 (1 H, t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 2.72 (3 H, s), 2.49 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.2, 16.4$  Hz), 2.42 (2 H, m), 2.33 (1 H, dd,  $J = 6.2, 16.4$  Hz), 2.07 (2 H, m), 2.02 (3 H, s), 1.33 (4 H, m), 1.19 (3 H, s), 1.14 (3 H, s), 1.06 (3 H, d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 0.89 (9 H, s), 0.88 (9 H, s), 0.11 (3 H, s), 0.07 (3 H, s), 0.04 (6 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  217.8, 176.6, 164.9, 152.5, 141.7, 132.9, 125.0, 119.0, 115.3, 73.5, 73.3, 53.4, 47.0, 40.1, 35.8, 33.2, 29.8, 27.4, 26.0, 25.9, 24.5, 19.0, 18.1, 15.2, 14.3, -4.0, -4.2, -4.2, -4.7.

#### EXAMPLE 54

**Macrolactonization:** DCC (0.150 g, 0.725 mmol), 4-DMAP (0.078 g, 0.64 mmol) and 4-DMAP-HCl (0.110 g, 0.696 mmol) were dissolved in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (80 mL) at 80°C. To this refluxing solution was added by syringe pump the hydroxy acid **14D** (0.020 g, 0.029 mmol) and DMAP (0.010 g) in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (10 mL) over 20 h. The syringe needle was placed at the base of the condensor to ensure proper addition. After 20 h, the reaction was cooled to 50°C and AcOH (0.046 mL, 0.812 mmol) was added. After 2 h, the reaction was cooled to rt and washed with sat.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (30 mL), brine (30 mL) and dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The lactone **15D** was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (20:1  $\rightarrow$  15:1) to give 0.014 g (75%); IR (film): 2929, 1741, 1696, 1254, 1097  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.95 (1 H, s), 6.55 (1 H, s), 5.48 (1 H, m), 5.37 (1 H, m), 5.16 (1 H, d,  $J = 9.8$  Hz), 4.17 (1 H, d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz), 4.07 (1 H, t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 3.02 (1 H, t,  $J = 7.2$

Hz), 2.77 (1 H, m), 2.70 (3 H, s), 2.64 (2 H, m), 2.29 (1 H, m), 2.15 (1 H, m), 2.12 (3 H, s), 1.92 (1 H, m), 1.71 (1 H, m), 1.44 (2 H, m), 1.26 (1 H, m), 1.17 (3 H, s), 1.12 (3 H, s), 1.11 (3 H, d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 0.91 (9 H, s), 0.85 (9 H, s), 0.09 (3 H, s), 0.06 (6 H, s), -0.04 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  215.2, 171.9, 164.5, 152.5, 138.0, 133.5, 123.8, 120.0, 116.7, 79.4, 76.2, 72.5, 53.5, 47.4, 39.9, 34.5, 31.9, 31.5, 30.2, 27.7, 26.1, 25.9, 24.1, 23.8, 23.1, 22.6, 19.2, 18.5, 18.2, 16.3, 14.9, 14.1, -3.7, -4.2, -4.7, -5.2.

#### EXAMPLE 55

**Desmethyldesoxyepothilone A (16D):** To the lactone **15D** (0.038 g, 0.056 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL) was added HF-pyridine (1.0 mL). After 2 h, the reaction was poured into sat  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (30 mL) and extracted with  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (5 x 20 mL). The organics were dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The crude diol **16D** was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (3:1  $\rightarrow$  2:1) to give 0.023 g (89%): IR (film): 3501, 2933, 1734, 1684, 1290, 1248, 1045  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.95 (1 H, s), 6.59 (1 H, s), 5.40 (2 H, m), 5.23 (1 H, dd,  $J = 1.4, 9.5$  Hz), 4.38 (1 H, bd,  $J = 11.1$  Hz), 3.78 (1 H, t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz), 3.59 (1 H, bs), 3.47 (1 H, s), 2.99 (1 H, q,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 2.68 (3 H, s), 2.66 (1 H, m), 2.46 (1 H, dd,  $J = 11.4, 14.4$  Hz), 2.26 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.2, 14.4$  Hz), 2.22 (1 H, m), 2.06 (3 H, s), 1.96 (1 H, m), 1.49 (3 H, m), 1.35 (3 H, m), 1.30 (3 H, s), 1.15 (3 H, d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz), 1.06 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  221.5, 170.3, 165.1, 151.8, 139.1, 132.8, 125.2, 119.1, 115.5, 78.4, 72.5, 70.8, 53.8, 42.7, 39.6, 32.3, 31.8, 28.3, 26.8, 24.8, 23.1, 19.0, 17.2, 16.0, 11.1.

#### EXAMPLE 56

**Epoxide formation:** Diol **16D** (0.008 g, 0.017 mmol) was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (1.0 mL) and cooled to  $-60^\circ\text{C}$ . Dimethyldioxirane (0.06 M, 0.570 mL, 0.0034 mmol) was then slowly added. The reaction temperature was slowly warmed to  $-25^\circ\text{C}$ . After 2 h at  $-25^\circ\text{C}$ , the volatiles were removed from the reaction at  $-25^\circ\text{C}$  under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with MeOH in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (1%  $\rightarrow$  2%) to give a 1.6:1 mixture of *cis*-epoxides **3D** and the diastereomeric *cis*-epoxide (0.0058 g, 74%). The diastereomeric epoxides were separated by preparative-TLC eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:1) after 3 elutions to give pure diastereomers:

Beta epoxide **3D**: IR (film): 3458, 2928, 1737, 1685, 1456, 1261, 1150, 1043, 1014  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  7.01 (1 H, s), 6.56 (1 H, s), 5.35 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.3, 9.6$  Hz), 4.30 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.0, 5.7$  Hz), 3.85 (1 H, m), 3.81 (1 H, d,  $J = 5.7$  Hz), 3.42 (1 H, d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz), 3.03 (1 H, q,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 2.97 (1 H, m), 2.88 (1 H, m), 2.67 (3 H, s), 2.46 (1 H, dd,  $J = 9.0, 14.5$  Hz), 2.33 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.6, 14.5$  Hz), 2.13 (1 H, dt,  $J = 3.0, 15.0$  Hz), 2.08 (3 H, s), 1.82 (1 H, m), 1.52 (6 H, m), 1.41 (1 H, m), 1.33 (3 H, s), 1.21 (4 H, m), 1.12 (3 H, d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 1.06 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  221.9, 170.6, 165.6, 152.2, 138.3, 120.2, 116.6, 77.3, 73.4, 69.9, 57.7, 55.3, 43.7, 39.7, 32.6, 32.0, 29.8, 27.2, 25.7, 24.7, 22.5, 19.2, 19.0, 15.6, 15.6, 11.5;

Alpha epoxide: IR (film): 3439, 2918, 1735, 1684, 1455, 1262, 1048, 1014  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 500 MHz)  $\delta$  7.02 (1 H, s), 6.56 (1 H, s), 5.62 (1 H, d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 4.33 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.7, 11.0$  Hz), 3.85 (1 H, t,  $J = 5.9$  Hz), 3.27 (1 H, d,  $J = 5.3$  Hz), 3.11 (1 H, m), 3.07 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 3.04 (1 H, s), 2.87 (1 H, m), 2.68 (3 H, s), 2.46 (1 H, dd,  $J = 11.1, 14.1$  Hz), 2.35 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.3, 14.1$  Hz), 2.11 (3 H, s), 2.06 (1 H, ddd,  $J = 1.9, 4.5, 15.1$  Hz), 1.87 (1 H, m), 1.52 (6 H, m), 1.38 (2 H, m), 1.29 (3 H, s), 1.08 (3 H, d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz), 1.03 (3 H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 125 MHz)  $\delta$  222.1, 170.2, 165.3, 152.5, 137.6, 119.7, 116.7, 76.7, 72.9, 70.6, 57.1, 55.1, 44.7, 40.0, 32.1, 31.4, 30.0, 26.6, 25.5, 24.7, 21.3, 19.3, 18.7, 15.7, 11.5.

### EXAMPLE 57

#### Experimental Data for C-12 Hydroxy Epothilone Analogs

**Propyl hydroxy compound 43:**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.96 (1 H, s), 6.59 (1 H, s), 5.16-5.23 (2 H, band), 4.28 (1 H, m), 3.72 (1 H, m), 3.63 (2 H, t,  $J = 6.3$  Hz), 3.17 (1 H, dq,  $J = 2.2, 0.5$  Hz), 3.02 (1 H, s), 2.70 (3 H, s), 2.65 (2 H, m), 2.46 (1 H, dd,  $J = 10.9, 14.6$  Hz), 2.29 (2 H, m), 1.98-2.09 (6 H, band), 1.60-1.91 (6 H, band), 1.35 (3 H, s), 1.33 (3 H, s), 1.18 (3 H, d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 1.07 (3 H, s), 1.01 (3 H, d,  $J = 7.1$  Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  220.69, 170.29, 165.00, 151.81, 141.63, 138.93, 120.64, 118.81, 115.52, 78.53, 77.23, 73.93, 71.85, 62.26, 53.63, 41.57, 39.54, 37.98, 32.33, 32.14, 31.54, 30.75, 29.67, 25.27, 22.89, 18.92, 17.67, 15.98, 15.74, 13.28; MS  $m/z$  536.2, calc 535.29.

**Hydroxy methyl compound 46:**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.97 (1 H, s), 6.63 (1 H, s), 5.43 (1 H, dd,  $J = 5.7, 9.1$  Hz), 5.24 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 4.31 (1 H, d,  $J = 9.7$  Hz), 4.05 (2 H, dd,  $J = 7.3, 31.0$  Hz), 3.87 (1 H, bs), 3.69 (1 H, bs), 3.17 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.0, 6.9$  Hz), 3.03 (1 H, s), 2.69 (3 H, s), 2.63 (1 H, m), 2.45 (1 H, dd,  $J = 11.2, 14.6$  Hz), 2.37 (1 H, m), 2.25 (2 H, m), 2.11 (1 H, m), 2.05 (3 H, s), 1.78 (1 H, m), 1.70 (1 H, m), 1.35 (3 H, s), 1.34 (2 H, m), 1.29 (1 H, m), 1.18 (3 H, d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 1.06 (3 H, s), 1.00 (3 H, d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  220.70, 170.16, 165.02, 151.63, 141.56, 138.41, 121.33, 118.65, 115.33, 77.74, 77.25, 74.11, 71.37, 65.75, 53.86, 41.52, 39.52, 37.98, 31.46, 27.70, 25.10, 22.86, 18.74, 17.20, 16.17, 15.63, 13.41.

#### Discussion

##### Total Synthesis of (-)-Epothilone A.

The first known method for preparing epothilone A (1) is provided by this invention. Carbons 9 through 11 insulate domains of chirality embracing carbons 3 through 8 on the acyl side of the macrolactone, and carbons 12 through 15 on the alkyl side.

Transmitting stereochemical information from one of the segments to the other is unlikely. Thus, the approach taken deals with the stereochemistry of each segment individually. In the

acyl segment, this strategy required knowledge of both the relative and absolute configurations of the "polypropionate-like" network. In the alkyl segment, two possibilities emerge. In one instance, the C12-C13 epoxide would be included in the construct undergoing merger with the acyl related substructure. In that case it would be necessary to secure the relative stereochemical relationship of carbons 15, 13 and 12. It was necessary to consider the possibility that the epoxide would be deleted from the alkyl-side moiety undergoing coupling. This approach would only be feasible if the epoxide could be introduced with acceptable stereocontrol after closure of the macrocycle. The synthesis of compound **4**, which contains most of the requisite stereochemical information required for the acyl fragment, is described above. This intermediate is prepared by a novel oxidatively induced solvolytic cleavage of the cyclopropanopyran **3**. Also described above is a construct containing the alkyl side coupling partner embodying the absolute and relative stereochemistry at carbons 15, 13 and 12, which differs from the alternative approach set forth below.

In considering the union of the alkyl and acyl domains, several potential connection sites were available. At some point, an acylation would be required to establish an ester (or lactone) bond (see bold arrow **2**). Furthermore, an aldol construction was required to fashion a C2-C3 connection. Determining the exact timing of this aldol step required study. It could be considered in the context of elongating the C3-C9 construct to prepare it for acylation of the C-15 hydroxyl. Unexpectedly, it was discovered that the macrolide could be closed by an unprecedented macroaldolization. (For a previous instance of a keto aldehyde macroaldolization, see: C.M. Hayward, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1993**, *115*, 9345.) This option is implied by bold arrow 3 in Figure 1(A).

The first stage merger of the acyl and alkyl fragments (see bold arrow 1) posed a difficult synthetic hurdle. It is recognized in the art (P. Bertinato, *et al.*, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1996**, *61*, 8000; *vide infra*) that significant resistance is encountered in attempting to accomplish bond formation between carbons 9 and 10 or between carbons 10 and 11, wherein the epoxide would be included in the alkyl coupling partner. These complications arose from unanticipated difficulties in fashioning acyl and alkyl reactants with the appropriate complementarity for merger across either of these bonds. An initial merger between carbons 11 and 12 was examined. This approach dictated deletion of the oxirane linkage from the O-alkyl coupling partner. After testing several permutations, generalized systems **5** and **6** were examined to enter the first stage coupling reaction. The former series was to be derived from intermediate **4**. A *de novo* synthesis of a usable substrate corresponding to generalized system **5** would be necessary (Figure 1(B)).

The steps leading from **4** to **11** are shown in Scheme 2. Protection of the future C-7 alcohol (see compound **7**) was followed by cleavage of the benzyl ether and

oxidation to aldehyde **8**. Elongation of the aldehyde to the terminal allyl containing fragment **10** proceeded through end ether **9** (mixture of E and Z geometrical isomers). Finally, the dithiane linkage was oxidatively cleaved under solvolytic trapping conditions, giving rise to specific coupling component **11**. G. Stork; K. Zhao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, 30, 287.

5               The synthesis of the alkyl fragment started with commercially available (R)-glycidol **12** which was converted, via its THP derivative **13**, to alcohol **14**. After cleavage of the tetrahydropyran blocking group, the resultant alcohol was smoothly converted to the methyl ketone **15**, as shown. The latter underwent an Emmons-type homologation with phosphine oxide **16**. D.Meng *et al.*, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1996**, 61, 7998. This Emmons coupling  
10               provided a ca. 8:1 mixture of olefin stereoisomers in favor of *trans*-**17**. The resultant alkyne **17** was then converted, via compound **18** to Z-iodoalkene **19** (see Figure 4(A)). E.J. Corey *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1985**, 107, 713.

              The critical first stage coupling of the two fragments was achieved by a B-alkyl Suzuki carbon-carbon bond construction. N. Miyaura *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1989**, 111,  
15               314; N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.*, **1995**, 95, 2457. Thus, hydroboration of the pre-acyl fragment **11** was accomplished by its reaction with 9-BBN. The resultant mixed borane cross-coupled to iodoolefin **19**, under the conditions indicated, to give **20** in 71% yield. (Figure 4(B)) Upon cleavage of the acetal, aldehyde **21** was in hand.

              The availability of **21** permitted exploration of the strategy in which the methyl  
20               group of the C-1 bound acetoxy function would serve as the nucleophilic component in a macroaldolization. Cf. C.M. Hayward *et al.*, *supra*. Deprotonation was thereby accomplished with potassium hexamethyldisilazide in THF at -78°C. Unexpectedly, these conditions give rise to a highly stereoselective macroaldolization, resulting in the formation of the C-3 (S)-alcohol **22**, as shown. The heavy preponderance of **22** was favored when its precursor  
25               potassium aldolate is quenched at ca. 0°C. When the aldolate was protonated at lower temperature, higher amounts of the C-3 (R) compound were detected. In fact, under some treatments, the C-3 (R) epimer predominates. It is therefore possible to generate highly favorable C-3(R):C-3(S) ratios in analytical scale quenches. In preparative scale experiments, the ratio of **22** to its C-3 epimer is 6:1.

30               With compound **22** in ready supply, the subgoal of obtaining desoxyepothilone (**23**) was feasible. This objective was accomplished by selective removal of the triphenylsilyl (TPS) group in **22**, followed, sequentially, by selective silylation of the C-3 alcohol, oxidation of the C-5 alcohol, and, finally, fluoride-induced cleavage of the two silyl ethers.

              Examination of a model made possible by the published crystal structure of  
35               epothilone (Höfle *et al.*, *supra*), suggested that the oxirane is disposed on the convex periphery of the macrolide. Oxidation of **23** was carried out with dimethyl dioxirane under the conditions shown. The major product of this reaction was (-)epothilone A (**1**), the identity

of which was established by nmr, infrared, mass spectral, optical rotation and chromatographic comparisons with authentic material. Höfle *et al.*, *supra*. In addition to epothilone A (**1**), small amounts of a diepoxide mixture, as well as traces of the diastereomeric *cis* C12-C13 monoepoxide ( $\geq 20:1$ ) were detected.

- 5                   The method of synthesis disclosed herein provides workable, practical amounts of epothilone A. More importantly, it provides routes to congeners, analogues and derivatives not available from the natural product itself.

Studies Toward a Synthesis of Epothilone A: Use of Hydropyran Templates For the  
1.0 Management of Acyclic Stereochemical Relationships.

                  The synthesis of an enantiomerically pure equivalent of the alkoxy segment (carbons 9-15) was carried out in model studies. The key principle involves transference of stereochemical bias from an (S)-lactaldehyde derivative to an emerging dihydropyrone. The latter, on addition of the thiazole moiety and disassembly, provides the desired acyclic  
1.5 fragment in enantiomerically pure form.

                  Various novel structural features of the epothilones make their synthesis challenging. The presence of a thiazole moiety, as well as a *cis* epoxide, and a geminal dimethyl grouping are key problems to be overcome. An intriguing feature is the array of three contiguous methylene groups which serves to insulate the two functional domains of the  
2.0 molecules. The need to encompass such an achiral "spacer element" actually complicates prospects for continuous chirality transfer and seems to call for a strategy of merging two stereochemically committed substructures. The present invention provides a synthesis of compound **4A** (Figure 14), expecting that, in principle, such a structure could be converted to the epothilones themselves, and to related screening candidates.

- 2.5                   The identification of compound **4A** as a synthetic intermediate served as an opportunity to illustrate the power of hydropyran matrices in addressing problems associated with the control of stereochemistry in acyclic intermediates. The synthesis of dihydropyrones was previously disclosed through what amounts to overall cyclocondensation of suitably active dienes and aldehydic heterodienophiles. Danishefsky, S.J. *Aldrichimica Acta*, **1986**, 19,  
3.0 59. High margins of stereoselectivity can be realized in assembling (cf. **5A** + **6A**  $\rightarrow$  **7A**) such matrices (Figure 13). Moreover, the hydropyran platforms service various stereospecific reactions (see formalism **7A**  $\rightarrow$  **8A**). Furthermore, the products of these reactions are amenable to ring opening schemes, resulting in the expression of acyclic fragments with defined stereochemical relationships (cf. **8A**  $\rightarrow$  **9A**). Danishefsky, S. J. *Chemtracts*, **1989**, 2, 273.

- 3.5                   The present invention provides the application of two such routes for the synthesis of compound **4A**. Route 1, which does not *per se* involve control of the issue of absolute configuration, commences with the known aldehyde **10A**. Shafiee, A., *et al.*, *J.*



*Heterocyclic Chem.*, **1979**, 16, 1563; Schafiee, A.; Shahocini, S. *J. Heterocyclic Chem.*, **1989**, 26, 1627. Homologation, as shown, provided enal **12A**. Cyclocondensation of **12A** with the known diene (Danishefsky, S.J.; Kitahara, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1974**, 96, 7807), under  $\text{BF}_3$  catalysis, led to racemic dihydropyrone **13A**. Reduction of **13A** under Luche conditions provided compound **14A**. Luche, J.-L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1978**, 100, 2226. At this point it was feasible to take advantage of a previously introduced lipase methodology for resolution of glycal derivatives through enzymatically mediated kinetic resolution. Berkowitz, D.B. and Danishefsky, S.J. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1991**, 32, 5497; Berkowitz, D.B.; Danishefsky, S.J.; Schulte, G.K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1992**, 114, 4518. Thus, carbinol **14A** was subjected to lipase 30, in the presence of isopropenyl acetate, following the prescriptions of Wong (Hsu, S.-H., et al., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1990**, 31, 6403) to provide acetate **15A** in addition to the enantiomerically related free glycal **16A**. Compound **15A** was further advanced to the PMB protected system **17A**. At this juncture, it was possible to use another reaction type previously demonstrated by the present inventors. Thus, reaction of **17A** with dimethyldioxirane (Danishefsky, S.J.; Bilodeau, M.T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1996**, 35, 1381) generated an intermediate (presumably the corresponding glycal epoxide) which, upon treatment with sodium metaperiodate gave rise to aldehyde formate **18A**. Allylation of **18A** resulted in the formation of carbinol **19A** in which the formate ester had nicely survived. (For a review of allylations, see: Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 2207.) However, **19A** was accompanied by its *anti* stereoisomer (not shown here) [4 : 1]. Mesylation of the secondary alcohol, followed by deprotection (see **19A** → **20A**) and cyclization, as indicated, gave compound **4A**.

In this synthesis, only about half of the dihydropyrone was secured through the process of kinetic resolution. While, in theory, several of the synthetic stratagems considered contemplate use of each enantiomer of **15A** to reach epothilone itself, another route was sought to allow for full enantiomeric convergence. The logic of this route is that the chirality of a "dummy" asymmetric center is communicated to the emerging pyran following previously established principles of tunable diastereoselection in the cyclocondensation reaction. (Danishefsky, *supra*) Cyclo-condensation of lactaldehyde derivative **21A** (Heathcock, C.H., et al., *J. Org. Chem.*, **1980**, 45, 3846) with the indicated diene, under ostensible chelation control, afforded **22A**. The side chain ether could then be converted to the methyl ketone **25A** as shown (see **22A** → **23A** → **24A** → **25A**). Finally, an Emmons condensations (for example, see: Lythgoe, B., et al., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1975**, 3863; Toh, H.T.; Okamura, W.H. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1983**, 48, 1414; Baggiolini, E.G., et al., *J. Org. Chem.*, **1986**, 51, 3098) of **25A** with the phosphine oxide **26A** was transformed to phosphine oxide **26A** according to the procedure described in Toh, *supra* as shown in Figure 15 gave rise to **27A**. (The known 2-methyl-4-chloromethylthiazole (see Marzoni, G. *J. Heterocyclic Chem.*, **1986**, 23, 577.) A

straightforward protecting group adjustment then afforded the previously encountered **17A**. This route illustrates the concept of stereochemical imprinting through a carbon center which eventually emerges in planar form after conferring enantioselection to subsequently derived stereocenters. The use of the dihydropyrone based logic for securing the stereochemical elements of the epothilones, as well as the identification of a possible strategy for macrocyclization will be described in the following section.

Studies Toward a Synthesis of Epothilone A: Sterocontrolled  
Assembly of the Acyl Region and Models for Macrocyclization.

Ring-forming olefin metathesis has been employed to construct 16-membered ring congeners related to epothilone A. A stereospecific synthesis of the C3-C9 sector of the acyl fragment was achieved by exploiting a novel oxidative opening of a cyclopropanated glycal.

Disclosed in the previous section is a synthesis of the "alkoxy" segment of epothilone (**1**) (see compound **2B**, Figure 7) encompassing carbons 10 to 21. In this section the synthesis of another fragment encoding the stereochemical information of acyl section carbons 3 to 9. It was envisioned that the aldehyde center (C<sub>3</sub>) of the formal target **3B** would serve as an attachment site to a nucleophilic construct derived from compound **2B** (requiring placement of a 2 carbon insert, as suggested in Figure 7), through either inter- or intramolecular means. In such a context, it would be necessary to deal independently with the stereochemistry of the secondary alcohol center eventually required at C<sub>3</sub>. One of the interesting features of system **3B** is the presence of geminal methyl groups at carbon 4 (epothilone numbering). Again, use is made of a dihydropyran strategy to assemble a cyclic matrix corresponding, after appropriate disassembly, to a viable equivalent of system **3B**. The expectation was to enlarge upon the dihydropyran paradigm to include the synthesis of gem-dimethyl containing cyclic and acyclic fragments. The particular reaction type for this purpose is generalized under the heading of transformation of **4B** → **5B** (see Figure 7). Commitment as to the nature of the electrophile E is avoided. Accordingly, the question whether a reduction would or would not be necessary in going from structure type **5B** to reach the intended generalized target **3B** is not addressed.

The opening step consisted of a stereochemically tuneable version of the diene-aldehyde cyclocondensation reaction (Figure 8; Danishefsky, S.J., *Aldrichimica Acta*, **1986**, 19, 59), in this instance drawing upon chelation control in the merger of the readily available enantiomerically homogenous aldehyde **6B** with the previously known diene **7B**.

Danishefsky, S.J., et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, 101, 7001. Indeed, as precedent would have it, under the influence of titanium tetrachloride there was produced substantially a single isomer shown as compound **8B**. In the usual and stereochemically reliable way (Danishefsky,

S.J., *Chemtracts Org. Chem.* **1989**, 2, 273), the dihydropyrone was reduced to the corresponding glycal, **9B**. At this point, it was feasible to utilize a directed Simmons-Smith reaction for the conversion of glycal **9B** to cyclopropane **10B**. Winstein, S.; Sonnenberg, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1961**, 83, 3235; Dauben, W.G.; Berezin, G.H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1963**,  
5 85, 468; Furukawa, J., et al., *Tetrahedron*, **1968**, 24, 53; For selected examples, see Soeckman, R.K. Jr.; Charette, A.B.; Asberom, T.; Johnston, B.H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1991**, 113, 5337; Timmers, C.M.; Leeuwenburgh, M.A.; Verheijen, J.C.; Van der Marel, G.A.; Van Boom, J.H. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **1996**, 7, 49. This compound is indeed an interesting structure in that it corresponds in one sense to a cyclopropano version of a C-glycoside. At the same  
10 time, the cyclopropane is part of a cyclopropylcarbiny alcohol system with attendant possibilities for rearrangement. Wenkert, E., et al., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **1970**, 92, 7428. It was intended to cleave the C-glycosidic bond of the cyclopropane in a fashion which would elaborate the geminal methyl groups, resulting in a solvent-derived glycoside with the desired aldehyde oxidation state at C-3 (see hypothesized transformation **4B** → **5B**, Figure 7). In early  
15 efforts, the non-oxidative version of the projected reaction (i.e.  $E^+ = H^+$ ) could not be reduced to practice. Instead, products clearly attributable to the ring expanded system **11** were identified. For example, exposure of **10B** to acidic methanol gave rise to an epimeric mixture of seven-membered mixed-acetals, presumably through the addition of methanol to oxocarbenium ion **11B**.

20 However, the desired sense of cyclopropane opening, under the influence of the ring oxygen, was achieved by subjecting compound **10B** to oxidative opening with N-iodosuccinimide. (For interesting Hg(II)-induced solvolyses of cyclopropanes that are conceptually similar to the conversion of **10B** to **12B**, see: Collum, D.B.; Still, W.C.; Mohamadi, F. *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **1986**, 108, 2094; Collum, D.B.; Mohamadi, F.; Hallock,  
25 J.S.; *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **1983**, 105, 6882. Following this precedent, a Hg(II)-induced solvolysis of cyclopropane **10B** was achieved, although this transformation proved to be less efficient than the reaction shown in Figure 8.) The intermediate iodomethyl compound, obtained as a methyl glycoside **12B**, when exposed to the action of *tri-n*-butyltinhydride gave rise to pyran **13B** containing the geminal methyl groups. Protection of this alcohol (see **13B** →  
30 **14B**), followed by cleavage of the glycosidic bond, revealed the acyclic dithiane derivative **15B** which can serve as a functional version of the hypothetical aldehyde **3B**.

Possible ways of combining fragments relating to **2B** and **3B** in a fashion to reach epothilone and congeners thereof were examined. In view of the studies of Schrock (Schrock, R.R., et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1990**, 112, 3875) and Grubbs (Schwab, P. et al.,  
35 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1995**, 34, 2039; Grubbs, R.H.; Miller, S.J. *Fu, G.C. Acc. Chem. Res.*, **1995**, 28, 446; Schmalz, H.-G., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1995**, 34, 1833) and the disclosure of Hoveyda (Hour, A.F., et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1995**, 117, 2943), wherein a

complex lactam was constructed in a key intramolecular olefin macrocyclization step through a molybdenum mediated intramolecular olefin metathesis reaction (Schrock, *supra*; Schwab, *supra*), the possibility of realizing such an approach was considered. (For other examples of ring-closing metathesis, see: Martin, S.F.; Chen, H.-J.; Courtney, A.K.; Lia, Y.; Pätz, M.;

- 5 Ramser, M N.; Wagman, A.S. *Tetrahedron*, **1996**, 52, 7251; Fürstner, A.; Langemann, K. J. *Org. Chem.*, **1996**, 61, 3942.)

The matter was first examined with two model  $\omega$ -unsaturated acids **16B** and **17B** which were used to acylate alcohol **2B** to provide esters **18B** and **19B**, respectively (see Figure 9). These compounds did indeed undergo olefin metathesis macrocyclization in the desired  
10 manner under the conditions shown. In the case of substrate **18B**, compound set **20B** was obtained as a mixture of E- and Z-stereoisomers [ca. 1:1]. Diimide reduction of **20B** was then conducted to provide homogeneous **22B**. The olefin metathesis reaction was also extended to compound **19B** bearing geminal methyl groups corresponding to their placement at C4 of epothilone A. Olefin metathesis occurred, this time curiously producing olefin **21B** as a single  
15 entity in 70% yield (stereochemistry tentatively assigned as Z.) Substantially identical results were obtained through the use of Schrock's molybdenum alkylidene metathesis catalyst.

As described above, olefin metathesis is therefore amenable to the challenge of constructing the sixteen membered ring containing both the required epoxy and thiazolyl functions of the target system. It is pointed out that no successful olefin metathesis reaction  
20 has yet been realized from seco-systems bearing a full complement of functionality required to reach epothilone. These negative outcomes may merely reflect a failure to identify a suitable functional group constraint pattern appropriate for macrocyclization.

#### The Total Synthesis of Epothilone B: Extension of the Suzuki Coupling Method

25 The present invention provides the first total synthesis of epothilone A (**1**). D. Meng, *et al.*, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1996**, 61, 7998 P. Bertinato, *et al.*, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1996**, 61, 8000. A. Balog, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1996**, 35, 2801. D. Meng, *et al.*, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **1997**, 119, 10073. (For a subsequent total synthesis of epothilone A, see: Z. Yang, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1997**, 36, 166.) This synthesis proceeds through  
30 the Z-desoxy compound (**23**) which underwent highly stereoselective epoxidation with 2,2-dimethyldioxirane under carefully defined conditions to yield the desired  $\beta$ -epoxide. The same myxobacterium of the genus *Sorangium* which produces **23** also produces epothilone B (**2**). The latter is a more potent agent than **23**, both in antifungal screens and in cytotoxicity/cell nucleus disintegration assays. G. Höfle, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*  
35 **1996**, 35, 1567; D.M. Bollag, *et al.*, *Cancer Res.* **1995**, 55, 2325.

An initial goal structure was desoxyepothilone B (**2C**) or a suitable derivative thereof. Access to such a compound would enable the study of the regio- and

stereoselectivity issues associated with epoxidation of the C12 - C13 double bond. A key issue was the matter of synthesizing Z-tri-substituted olefinic precursors of **2C** with high margins of stereoselection. A synthetic route to the disubstituted system (A. Balog, et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1996**, 35, 2801) employed a palladium-mediated B-alkyl Suzuki coupling (N. Miyaoura, et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, 111, 314. (For a review, see: N. Miyaoura, A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, 95, 2457) of the Z-vinyl iodide **19** (Fig. 4(A)) with borane **7C** derived from hydroboration of compound **11** (Fig. 1(A)) with 9-BBN (Figure 4(B)).

A preliminary approach was to apply the same line of thinking to reach a Z-tri-substituted olefin (Fig. 17) en route to **2C**. Two issues had to be addressed. First, it would be necessary to devise a method to prepare vinyl iodide **8C**, the tri-substituted analog of **19**. If this goal could be accomplished, a question remained as to the feasibility of conducting the required B-alkyl Suzuki coupling reaction to reach a Z-tri-substituted olefin. The realization of such a transformation with a "B-alkyl" (as opposed to a "B-alkenyl" system) at the inter-molecular level, and where the vinyl iodide is not of the  $\beta$ -iodoenolate (or  $\beta$ -iodoenone) genre, was notprecedented. (For some close analogies which differ in important details from the work shown here, see: N. Miyaoura, et al., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1982**, 55, 2221; M. Ohba, et al., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1995**, 36, 6101; C.R. Johnson, M.P. Braun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, 115, 11014.)

The synthesis of compound **8C** is presented in Figure 16. The route started with olefin **10C** which was prepared by catalytic asymmetric allylation of **9C** (G.E. Keck, et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1993**, 115, 8467) followed by acetylation. Site-selective dihydroxylation of **10C** followed by cleavage of the glycol generated the unstable aldehyde **11C**. Surprisingly, the latter reacted with phosphorane **12C** (J. Chen, et al., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1994**, 35, 2827) to afford the Z-iodide **8C** albeit in modest overall yield. Borane **7C** was generated from **11** as described herein. The coupling of compound **7C** and iodide **8C** (Fig. 16) could be conducted to produce the pure Z-olefin **13C**.

With compound **13C** in hand, protocols similar to those employed in connection with the synthesis of **23** could be used. (A. Balog, et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1996**, 35, 2801). Thus, cleavage of the acetal linkage led to aldehyde **14C** which was now subjected to macroaldolization (Figure 17). The highest yields were obtained by carrying out the reaction under conditions which apparently equilibrate the C3 hydroxyl group. The 3R isomer was converted to the required 3S epimer via reduction of its derived C3-ketone (see compound **15C**). The kinetically controlled aldol condensation leading to the natural 3S configuration as described in the epothilone A series was accomplished. However, the overall yield for reaching the 3S epimer is better using this protocol. Cleavage of the C-5 triphenylsilyl ether was followed sequentially by monoprotection (t-butyldimethylsilyl) of the C3 hydroxyl, oxidation at C5 (see compound **16C**), and, finally, cleavage of the silyl

protecting groups to expose the C3 and C7 alcohols (see compound **2C**).

It was found that Z-desoxyepothilone B (**2C**) undergoes very rapid and substantially regio- and stereoselective epoxidation under the conditions indicated (although precise comparisons are not available, the epoxidation of **2C** appears to be more rapid and regioselective than is the case with **23**) (A. Balog, et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1996**, 35, 2801), to afford epothilone B (**2**) identical with an authentic sample (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, mass spec, IR, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub>). Accordingly, the present invention discloses the first total synthesis of epothilone B. Important preparative features of the present method include the enantioselective synthesis of the trisubstituted vinyl iodide **8C**, the palladium-mediated stereospecific coupling of compounds **7C** and **8C** to produce compound **13C** (a virtually unprecedented reaction in this form), and the amenability of Z-desoxyepothilone B (**2C**) to undergo regio- and stereoselective epoxidation under appropriate conditions.

#### Desmethylepothilone A

Total syntheses of epothilones A and B have not been previously disclosed. Balog, A., et al., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, 35, 2801; Nicolaou, K.C., et al., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, 36, 166. Nicolaou, K.C., et al., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, 36, 525; Schinzer, D., et al., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, 36, 523. Su, D.-S., et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, 36, 757. The mode of antitumor action of the epothilones closely mimics that of Taxol<sup>TM</sup>. Höfle, G., et al., *H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, 35, 1567. Although Taxol<sup>TM</sup> (paclitaxel) is a clinically proven drug, its formulation continues to be difficult. In addition, taxol induces the multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotype. Hence, any novel agent that has the same mechanism of action as taxol and has the prospect of having superior therapeutic activity warrants serious study. Bollag, D. M., et al., *Cancer Res.* **1995**, 55, 2325.

The present invention provides epothilone analogs that are more effective and more readily synthesized than epothilone A or B. The syntheses of the natural products provide ample material for preliminary biological evaluation, but not for producing adequate amounts for full development. One particular area where a structural change could bring significant relief from the complexities of the synthesis would be in the deletion of the C8 methyl group from the polypropionate domain (see target system **3D**). The need to deal with this C8 chiral center complicates all of the syntheses of epothilone disclosed thus far. Deletion of the C8 methyl group prompts a major change in synthetic strategy related to an earlier diene-aldehyde cyclocondensation route. Danishefsky, S. J. *Chemtracts* **1989**, 2, 273; Meng, D., et al., *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 7998; Bertinato, P., et al., *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 8000.

As shown in Fig. 20, asymmetric crotylation (87% ee) of **4D** (Brown, H. C.;

Bhat, K. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 5919), followed by protection led to TBS ether **5D**. The double bond was readily cleaved to give aldehyde **6D**. The aldehyde was coupled to the dianion derived from *t*-butyl isobutyrylacetate to provide **7D**. The ratio of the C<sub>5S</sub> (**7D**): C<sub>5R</sub> compound (not shown) is ca 10:1. That the Weiler-type  $\beta$ -ketoester dianion chemistry (Weiler, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 6702.; Weiler, L.; Huckin, S. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 1082) can be conducted in the context of the isobutyryl group suggested several alternate approaches for still more concise syntheses. Directed reduction of the C<sub>3</sub> ketone of **7D** following literature precedents (Evans, D. A., *et al.*, *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 741), followed by selective silylation of the C<sub>3</sub> hydroxyl gave a 50% yield of a 10:1 ratio of the required C<sub>3S</sub> (see compound **8D**) to C<sub>3R</sub> isomer (not shown). Reduction with sodium borohydride afforded a ca. 1:1 mixture of C<sub>3</sub> epimers. The carbinol, produced upon debenzylation, was oxidized to an aldehyde which, following methylenation through a simple Wittig reaction, afforded olefin **9D**. Treatment of this compound with TBSOTf provided ester **10D** which was used directly in the Suzuki coupling with the vinyl iodide **12D**.

The hydroboration of **10D** with 9-BBN produced intermediate **11D** which, on coupling with the vinyl iodide **12D** and *in situ* cleavage of the TBS ester led to **13D** (Fig. 21). After de-acetylation, the hydroxy acid **14D** was in hand. Macrolactonization of this compound (Boden, E. P.; Keck, G. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2394) produced **15D** which, after desilylation, afforded C<sub>8</sub>-desmethyl-desoxyepothilone (**16D**). Finally, epoxidation of this compound with dimethyldioxirane produced the goal structure **3D**. The stereoselectivity of epoxidation was surprisingly poor (1.5:1) given that epoxidation of desoxyepothilone A occurred with > 20:1 stereoselectivity. Deletion of the C<sub>8</sub> methyl group appears to shift the conformational distribution of **16D** to forms in which the epoxidation by dimethyl dioxirane is less  $\beta$ -selective. It is undetermined whether the effect of the C<sub>8</sub> methyl on the stereoselectivity of epoxidation by dimethyldioxirane and the dramatic reduction of biological activity are related.

Compounds **3D** and **16D** were tested for cytotoxicity in cell cultures and assembly of tubulin in the absence of GTP. Microtubule protein (MTP) was purified from calf brains by two cycles of temperature dependent assembly and disassembly. Weisenberg, R.C. *Science* **1972**, *177*, 1104. In control assembly experiments, MTP (1 mg/mL) was diluted in assembly buffer containing 0.1 M MES (2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid), 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1mM GTP and 3M glycerol, pH 6.6. The concentration of tubulin in MTP was estimated to be about 85%. Assembly was monitored spectrophotometrically at 350 nm, 35°C for 40 min by following changes in turbidity as a measure of polymer mass. Gaskin, F.; Cantor, C.R.; Shelanski, M.L.J. *Mol. Biol.* **1974**, *89*, 737. Drugs were tested at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ M, in the absence of GTP. Microtubule formation was verified by electron microscopy. To determine the stability of microtubules assembled in the presence of

GTP or drug, turbidity was followed for 40 min after the reaction temperature was shifted to 4°C.

Cytotoxicity studies showed drastically reduced activity in the 8-desmethyl series. Compounds **3D** and **16D** were approximately 200 times less active than their corresponding epothilone A counterparts (see Table 1). Recalling earlier SAR findings at both C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>, in conjunction with the findings disclosed herein, the polypropionate sector of the epothilones emerges as a particularly sensitive locus of biological function. Su, D.-S., et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, 36, 757; Meng, D., et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119.

10

**Table 1. Relative efficacy of epothilone compounds against drug-sensitive and resistant human leukemic CCRF-CEM cell lines.<sup>a</sup>**

Compound	CCRF-CEM IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>b</sup>	CCRF-CEM/VBL IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>b</sup>	CCRF-CEM/VM <sub>1</sub> IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>b</sup>
<b>16D</b>	5.00	5.75	6.29
<b>3D</b>	0.439	2.47	0.764
epothilone A	0.003	0.020	0.003
desoxyepothilone A	0.022	0.012	0.013
epothilone B	0.0004	0.003	0.002
desoxyepothilone B	0.009	0.017	0.014
paclitaxel	0.002	3.390	0.002

<sup>a</sup>The cytotoxicities of test compounds were determined by the growth of human lymphoblastic leukemic cells CCRF-CEM, or their sublines resistant to vinblastine and taxol (CCRF-CEM/VBL) or resistant to etoposide (CCRF-CEM/VM-1). XTT-microculture tetrazolium/formazan assays were used.


<sup>b</sup>The IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated from 5-6 concentrations based on the median-effect plot using computer software.

30

### Biological Results


In the tables which follow, model system 1 is desoxyepothilone. Model system 2 has the structure:





wherein R' and R'' are H.

5 Model system 3 has the structure:



**Table 2**  
Relative Efficacy of Epothilone Compound Against Human  
Leukemic CCRF-CEM Cell Growth and Against  
CCRF-CEM MDR Sublines Resistant to Taxol or Etoposide

COMPOUND	IC <sub>50</sub> in $\mu$ M		
	CCRF-CEM	CCRF-CEM/VLB	CCRF-CEM/VM-1
EPOTHILONE A NATURAL	0.0035	0.0272	0.0034
EPOTHILONE A SYNTHETIC	0.0029	0.0203	0.0034
MODEL SYSTEM I [3]	271.7	22.38	11.59
TRIOL ANALOG [2]	14.23	6.28	43.93
DESOXY EPOTHILONE [1]	0.002	0.012	0.013
TAXOL	0.0023	2.63	0.0030
VINBLASTINE	0.00068	0.4652	0.00068
VP-16 (ETOPOSIDE)	0.2209	7.388	34.51

5

10

15

Table 2  
Relative Potency of Epothilone Compounds Against Human Leukemic CCRF Sublines

COMPOUND	CCRF-CEM (Parent Cell Line)	CCRF-CEM/VBL (MDR Cell Line) (Taxol Resistant)-(1143 fold) (Vinblastine Resistant)	CCRF-CEM/VBL (Topo II gene mutated cell line) (Taxol Sensitive) (VP-16 resistant)
	IC <sub>50</sub> [IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) relative to (A) Epothilone A]	IC <sub>50</sub> [IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) relative to (B) Epothilone A (B)/(A)]	IC <sub>50</sub> [IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) relative to (C) Epothilone A (C)/(A)]
TAXOL	0.0023 [0.72]	2.63 [109.6] (1143) <sup>a</sup>	0.0030 [0.88] (1.30) <sup>a</sup>
MODEL SYSTEM I	271.7 [84906]	22.38 [932.5] (0.082) <sup>b</sup>	11.59 [3409] (0.043) <sup>b</sup>
TRIAL ANALOG	14.23 [4447]	6.28 [261.7] (0.44) <sup>b</sup>	43.93 [12920] (3.09) <sup>a</sup>
DESOXYEPO- THILONE A	0.022 [6.9]	0.012 [0.5] (0.55) <sup>b</sup>	0.013 [3.82] (0.59) <sup>b</sup>
EPOTHILONE A	0.0032 [1]	0.024 [1] (7.5) <sup>a</sup>	0.0034 [1] (1.06) <sup>a</sup>

a. (B)/(A) or (C)/(A) ratio > 1 indicates fold of resistance when compared with the parent cell line. b. (B)/(A) or (C)/(A) ratio < 1 indicates fold of collateral sensitivity when compared with the parent cell line.

As shown in Table 2, CCRF-CEM is the parent cell line. CCRF-CEM/VBL (MDR cell line) is 1143-fold resistant to taxol. CCRF-CEM/VM (Topo II mutated cell line) only 1.3-fold resistant to taxol.

In terms of relative potency, synthetic Epothilone is roughly the same as natural Epothilone A. For CCRF-CEM cells, the ordering is:

Taxol  $\approx$  Epothilone A > Desoxy Epothilone A >> Triol Analog >> Model System I

For CCRF-CEM/VBL, the relative potency ordering is:

Desoxy Epothilone A  $\geq$  Epothilone A >> Taxol > Triol Analog > Model System I

For CCRF-CEM/VM, the relative potency ordering is:

Taxol  $\approx$  Epothilone A > Desoxy Epothilone A >> Model System I > Triol Analog

It is concluded that CCRF-CEM/VM cells are collaterally sensitive to certain epothilone compounds.

Table 3

Relative Efficacy of Etophilonone Compounds Against The DC-3F Hamster Lung Cell Growth and Against DC-3F MDR Sublines Resistant Actinomycin D

COMPOUNDS	IC <sub>50</sub> in $\mu$ M		
	DC-3F	DC-3F/ADII	DC-3F/ADX
EPOTHILONE A NATURAL	0.00368	0.01241	0.0533
EPOTHILONE A SYNTHETIC	0.00354	0.0132	0.070
MODEL SYSTEM I [3]	9.52	3.004	0.972
TRIOL ANALOG [2]	10.32	4.60	4.814
DESOXY EPOTHILONE [1]	0.01061	0.0198	0.042
TAXOL	0.09469	3.205	31.98
VINBLASTINE	0.00265	0.0789	1.074
VP-16 (Etoposide)	0.03386	0.632	12.06
ACTINOMYCIN-D	0.000058 (0.05816nm)	0.0082	0.486

5

10

Concerning Table 3, experiments were carried out using the cell lines DC-3F (parent hamster lung cells), DC-3F/ADII (moderate multidrug-resistant (MDR) cells) and DC-3F/ADX (very strong MDR cells).

- 5 The relative potency of the compounds are as follows:

DC-3F: Actinomycin D > Vinblastine  $\geq$  Epothilone A ( $0.0036\mu\text{M}$ ) > Desoxy  
epothilone > VP-16 > Taxol ( $0.09\mu\text{M}$ ) > Model system I and triol  
analog

10

DC-3F/ADX: Desoxyepothilone  $\geq$  Epothilone A ( $0.06\mu\text{M}$ ) > Actinomycin D  
> Model system I > Vinblastine > triol analog > viablastine > taxol  
( $32.0\mu\text{M}$ )

- 15 DC-3F/ADX cells (8379-fold resistant to actinomycin D) are > 338 fold (ca. 8379 fold)  
resistant to Taxol, VP-16, Vinblastine and Actinomycin D but < 20 fold  
resistant to epothilone compounds.

In general, these results are similar to those for CCRF-CEM cells.

20

**Table 4.** Three Drug Combination Analysis  
(Based on the Mutually Exclusive Assumption – Classical Isobologram Method)

Drug A: EPOTHILONE B (#8) ( $\mu\text{M}$ )

Drug B: TAXOL ( $\mu\text{M}$ )

Drug C: VINBLASTINE ( $\mu\text{M}$ )

Conditions: CCRF-CEM, 3 DRUG COMBINATION, RATIO (A:B:C: 1:5:1);  
EPOTHILONE + TAXOL + VINBLASTINE; EXPOSURE TIME 72  
HRS; XTT ASSAY.

Drug	Combination Index* Values at:				Parameters		
	<u>ED50</u>	<u>ED75</u>	<u>ED90</u>	<u>ED95</u>	Dm ( $\text{IC}_{50}$ ) ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	<u>m</u>	<u>r</u>
A					-.00061	1.71561	.98327
B					-.00109	2.14723	.98845
C					-.00061	1.76186	.9919
A + B	1.51545	1.38631	1.27199	1.20162	-.00146	2.41547	.97168
B + C	1.43243	1.33032	1.23834	1.18091	.00138	2.35755	.95695
A + C	.74395	.68314	.62734	.59204	.00045	2.0098	.96232
A + B + C	1.37365	1.32001	1.27285	1.24412	.00122	2.11202	.93639

VBL → microtubule depolymerization  
 Taxol → microtubule  
 polymerization  
 Epo-B → microtubule  
 polymerization  
 Epothilone B and Taxol have a similar mechanism of action (polymerization) but Epothilone B synergizes VBL whereas Taxol antagonizes VBL.  
 Taxol + VBL → Antagonism  
 EpoB + Taxol → Antagonism  
 EpoB + VBL → Synergism  
 EpoB + Taxol + VBL → Antagonism

\*Combination index values < 1, = 1, and > 1 indicate synergism, additive effect, and antagonism, respectively.

Table 5. Relative cytotoxicity of epothilone compounds *in vitro*.

Compounds	IC <sub>50</sub> in $\mu$ M		
	CCRF-CEM	CCRF-CEM/VLB	CCRF-CEM/VM-1
VINBLASTINE	**** 0.0008	0.44	0.00049
	0.0006 (0.00063)	0.221 (0.332)	0.00039 (0.00041)
	0.0005 $\pm$ 0.00008	0.336 $\pm$ 0.063	0.00036 $\pm$ 0.00004 (0.7X)
VP-16	0.259	6.02	35.05
	0.323 (0.293)	9.20 (10.33)	42.24 (34.39)
	0.296 $\pm$ 0.019)	15.76 $\pm$ 2.87)	25.89 $\pm$ 4.73)
			(117.4X)
TAXOL	*** 0.0021	4.14	0.0066
#17	*	0.090	0.254
#18		1157.6	> > 1
#19		0.959	> > 1
#20	*	0.030	0.049
#21	-	-	0.146
#22	*	0.098	-
#23	-	-	0.053
#24	***	0.0078	0.077
#25	*	0.021	0.197
#26	*	0.055	0.0072
#27	****	0.0010	-
Epothilone A (Syn)	***	0.0021	0.015
Epothilone B (Syn)	****	0.00042	0.0017

\* Number of asterisks denotes relative potency.

§ Number in parentheses indicates relative resistance (fold) when compared with parent cell line.



**Table 6.** Relative potency of epothilone compounds *in vitro*.

Compounds		IC <sub>50</sub> in $\mu$ M		
		CCRF-CEM	CCRF-CEM/VBL	CCRF-CEM/VM-1
Desoxy Epo. A	1	* 0.022	0.012	0.013
	2	14.23	6.28	43.93
	3	271.7	22.38	11.59
	4	2.119	43.01	2.76
	5	> 20	35.19	98.04
Trans- A	6	0.052	0.035	0.111
	7	7.36	9.82	9.65
Syn-Epo.-B	8	**** 0.00082	0.0029	0.0044
Natural B	9	**** 0.00044	0.0026	0.0018
Desoxy Epo. B	10	*** 0.0095	0.017	0.014
Trans. Epo. B	11	* 0.090	0.262	0.094
	12	0.794	> 5	> 5
	13	11.53	5.63	14.46
8-desmethyl desoxy-Epo	14	5.42	5.75	6.29
8-desmethyl Mix-cis Epo	15	0.96	5.95	2.55
8-desmethyl $\beta$ -Epo	15	0.439	2.47	0.764
8-demethyl $\alpha$ -Epo	16	7.47	16.48	0.976
EPOTHILONE A (Natural)		*** 0.0024 (0.0027 0.0031 $\pm$ 0.0003)	0.0211 (0.020 0.0189 $\pm$ 0.001) (7.4X)	0.006 } (0.00613 0.00625 } $\pm$ 0.0001) (2.3X)
EPOTHILONE B (Natural)		**** 0.00017	0.0017 (7.0X)	0.00077
EPOTHILONE B (Synthetic)		0.00055	0.0031 (0.00213 $\pm$ 0.00055)	0.0018 (0.00126 $\pm$ 0.0003)
EPOTHILONE B (Synthetic, larger quantity synthesis) (25.9mg)		(0.00035 $\pm$ 0.0003) 0.00033	0.0021 (6.1X)	0.0012 (3.6X)

**Table 7.** Relative cytotoxicity of epothilone compounds *in vitro*.

	IC <sub>50</sub>	
	CEM	CEM/VBL
epothilone A	0.0029 $\mu$ M	0.0203 $\mu$ M
desoxyepothilone	0.022	0.012
2	14.2	6.28
3	271.7	22.4
4	2.1	43.8
5	> 20	35.2
6	0.052	0.035
7	7.4	9.8
synthetic epothilone B	0.00082	0.00293
natural epothilone B	0.00044	0.00263
desoxyepothilone B	0.0095	0.0169
11	0.090	0.262
12	0.794	> 5
13	11.53	5.63
14	5.42	5.75
15	0.439	2.47
16	7.47	16.48
17	0.090	0.254
18	1157.6	> > 1
19	0.959	> > 1
20	0.030	0.049
21	Not Available	-
22	0.098	0.146
23	Not Available	-
24	0.0078	0.053
25	0.0212	0.077
26	0.0545	0.197
27	0.0010	0.0072

**Table 8.** Chemotherapeutic Effect of Epothilone B, Taxol & Vinblastine in CB-17 Scid Mice Bearing Human CCRF-CEM and CCRF-CEM/VBL Xenograft<sup>1</sup>

Tumor	Drug <sup>2</sup>	Dose	Average weight change					Average tumor volume				
			Day 0	Day 7	Day 12	Day 17	Day 22	Day 7	Day 12	Day 17	Day 22	
CCRF-CEM		0	24.4	+0.2	+0.4	+0.1	+0.5	1.0 <sup>3</sup>	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	Epo B	0.7 <sup>4</sup>	24.7	-0.1	-0.7	-1.4	+0.3	1.0	0.53	0.48	0.46	
		1.0 <sup>5</sup>	25.0	+0.1	-1.5	-2.4	+0.1	1.0	0.46	0.35	0.43	
	Taxol	2.0	25.1	-0.1	-1.1	-1.5	-0.3	1.0	0.39	0.29	0.28	
		4.0	25.1	-0.2	-1.7	-1.9	-0.3	1.0	0.37	0.23	0.19	
VBL		0.2	25.9	+0.2	-0.8	-1.5	-0.3	1.0	0.45	0.25	0.29	
		0.4	25.0	-0.1	-1.4	-1.8	-0.7	1.0	0.31	0.27	0.30	
		0	26.3	-0.3	+0.1	-0.3	+0.4	1.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	
CCRF-CEM /VBL		0.7	25.8	+0.1	-0.7	-1.0	-0.2	1.0	0.32	0.40	0.33	
	Epo B	1.0 <sup>6</sup>	26.0	-0.2	-1.3	-2.1	-0.5	1.0	0.41	0.27	0.31	
	Taxol	2.0	26.1	0	-0.9	-1.5	-0.1	1.0	0.60	0.58	0.70	
		4.0	26.0	0	-1.4	-1.6	-0.9	1.0	0.79	0.55	0.41	
VBL		0.2	25.9	-0.3	-0.8	-1.4	-0.3	1.0	0.86	0.66	0.67	
		0.4	25.9	0	-1.2	-1.8	-0.5	1.0	1.02	0.57	0.62	

1. CCRF-CEM and CCRF-CEM/VBL tumor tissue 50ul/mouse implanted S.C. on day 0, Treatments i.p., QD on day 7, 8, 9, 10, 14 and 15. There were seven CB-17 scid male mice in each dose group and control.
2. Epo B, epothilone B; VBL, vinblastine.
3. The tumor volumes for each group on day 7 was about 1 mm<sup>3</sup>. The average volumes of CCRF-CEM control group on day 12, 17 and 22 were 19, 76 and 171 mm<sup>3</sup>, and of CCRF-CEM/VBL control group were 35, 107 and 278 mm<sup>3</sup>, respectively.
4. Two mice died of drug toxicity on day 19 & 20.
5. Three mice died of drug toxicity on day 18, 19 and 21.
6. One mouse died of drug toxicity on day 17.

In summary, epothilones and taxol have similar modes of action by stabilizing polymerization of microtubules. However, epothilones and taxol have distinct novel chemical structures.

MDR cells are 1500-fold more resistant to taxol (CCRF-CEM/VBL cells),  
5 epothilone A showed only 8-fold resistance and epothilone B showed only 5-fold resistance. For CCRF-CEM cells, Epo B is 6-fold more potent than Epo A and 10-fold more potent than Taxol. Desoxyepothilone B and compd #24 are only 3-4-fold less potent than Taxol and compound #27 is > 2-fold more potent than Taxol. Finally, Taxol and vinblastine showed  
10 antagonism against CCRF-CEM tumor cells, whereas the combination of Epo B + vinblastine showed synergism.

Relative Cytotoxicity of Epothilones against Human Leukemic Cells *in Vitro* is in the order as follows:

CCRF-CEM Leukemic Cells

15 Epo B ( $IC_{50}$  = 0.00035  $\mu$ M; Rel. Value = 1) > VBL (0.00063; 1/1.8) > #27 (0.0010; 1/2.9) > Taxol (0.0021; 1/6) > Epo A (0.0027; 1/7.7) > #24 (0.0078; 1/22.3) > #10 (0.0095; 1/27.1) > #25 (0.021; 1/60) > #1 (0.022; 1/62.8) > #20 (0.030; 1/85.7) > #6 (0.052; 1/149) > #26 (0.055; 1/157) > #17 (0.090; 1/257) > VP-16 (0.29; 1/8.29) > #15 (0.44; 1/1257) > #19 (0.96; 1/2943)

20 CCRF-CEM/VBL MDR Leukemic Cells

Epo B (0.0021; 1/6 \* [1]\*\*) > #27 (0.0072; 1/20.6) > #1 (0.012; 1/34.3) > #10 (0.017; 1/48.6) > Epo A (0.020; 1/57.1 [1/9.5]) > #6 (0.035) > #20 (0.049) > #24 (0.053) > #25 (0.077) > #22 (0.146) > #26 (0.197) > #17 (0.254) > #11 (0.262) > VBL (0.332; 1/948.6 [1/158.1]) > Taxol (4.14; 1/11828 [1/1971.4]) > VP-16 (10.33; 1/29514 [1/4919])

25 \*Potency in parentheses is relative to Epo B in CCRF-CEM cells.

\*\*Potency in square brackets is relative to Epo B in CCRF-CEM/VBL MDR cells.

30

As shown in Table 9, treatment of MX-1 xenograft-bearing nude mice with desoxyepothilone B (35mg/kg, 0/10 lethality), taxol (5mg/kg, 2/10 lethality; 10mg/kg, 2/6 lethality) and adriamycin (2mg/kg, 1/10 lethality; 3mg/kg, 4/6 lethality) every other day, i.p. beginning day 8  
35 for 5 doses resulted in a far better therapeutic effect for desoxyepothilone B at 35 mg/kg than for taxol at 5 mg/kg and adrimycin at 2mg/kg with tumor volume reduction of 98%, 53% and 28%, respectively. For the desoxyepothilone B-treated group, 3 out of 10 mice were found with tumor non-detectable on day 18. (See Fig. 46)

18 every other day for 5 more doses resulted in 5 out of 10 mice with tumor disappearing on day 28 (or day 31). See Table 10. By contrast, the extended treatment with taxol at 5mg/kg for five more doses resulted in continued tumor growth at a moderate rate, and 2 out of 10 mice died of toxicity.

- 5                      Toxicity studies with daily i.p. doses of desoxyepothilone B (25mg/kg, a very effective therapeutic dose as indicated in earlier experiments) for 4 days to six mice resulted in no reduction in average body weight. (Table 13; Fig. 47) By contrast, epothilone B (0.6mg/kg, i.p.) for 4 days to eight mice resulted in 33% reduction in average body weight; all eight mice died of toxicity between day 5 and day 7.

Table 9. Therapeutic Effect of Desoxyepothilone B, Taxol, and Adriamycin in Nude Mice Bearing Human MX-1 Xenograft<sup>a</sup>

Drug	Dose (mg/kg)	Average Body Weight Change (g)						Average Tumor Volume (T/C)						Tumor	
		Day 8	10	12	14	16	18	Day 10	12	14	16	18		Died	Disappearance
Control	0	24.6	-0.1	+1.0	+1.0	+1.3	+1.8	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0/10	0/10
Desoxyepothilone B	35	23.0	-0.1	+0.7	-0.3	-1.7	-1.6	0.42	0.28	0.07	0.04	0.02		0/10	3/10
Taxol	5	24.0	-1.3	-0.8	-1.4	-1.9	-1.8	0.58	0.36	0.34	0.42	0.47		2/10	0/10
	10	24.3	-1.0	-1.0	-2.3	-3.5	-3.8	0.85	0.40	0.21	0.20	0.12		2/6	1/6
Adriamycin	2 <sup>b</sup>	23.9	+0.3	0	-1.4	-1.9	-2.0	0.94	0.88	1.05	0.69	0.72		1/10	0/10
	3 <sup>c</sup>	22.4	+1.3	-0.2	-1.5	-2.1	-2.3	0.72	0.54	0.56	0.51	0.36		4/6	0/6

- MX-1 tissue 100  $\mu$ l/mouse was implanted s.c on day 0. Every other day i.p. treatments were given on day 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16. The average tumor volume of control group on day 10, 12, 14, 16 and 18 were 78, 151, 372, 739 and 1257 mm<sup>3</sup>, respectively.
- One mouse died of toxicity on day 22.
- Four mice died of toxicity on day 24.

**Table 10.** Extended Experiment of Desoxyepothilone B, Taxol, Cisplatin and Cyclophosphamide in Nude Mice Bearing Human MX-1 Xenograft<sup>a</sup>

Drug	Dose (mg/kg)	Average Body Weight Change (g)				Tumor Disappearance				Average Tumor Disappearance Duration (Day)	# Died			
Desoxyepo B	40	Day 8	20	22	24	26	28	Day 20	22	24	26	28		
		23.0	-1.7	-2.4	-2.4	-1.4	-1.2	2/10 <sup>b</sup>	2/10	3/10	5/10	5/10	44(5/10)	0/10
Taxol	5	24.0	-1.6	-0.3	+0.1	-0.6	-0.4	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	Reappear on day 38	2/10
	10	No extended test						1/6 on day 16						2/6

- a. Extended experiment was carried out after 5 times injection (on day 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16). Every other day i.p. treatments were given continuously: Desoxyepothilone B and Taxol on day 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26; control group mice were sacrificed.
- b. One of the mice tumor reappeared on day 20.

**Table 11.** Toxicity of Epothilone B and Desoxyepothilone B in normal nude mice.

Group	Dose and Schedule (mg/kg)	Number of mice	Died	Disappearance	Duration
Control		4	0		
Epothilone B <sup>a</sup>	0.6 QD x 4	8	8		
Desoxyepothilone B	25 QD x 4	6	0		

- a. Mice died of toxicity on day 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7

Drug	Dose (mg/kg)	Average Weight Change (g)						Average Tumor Volume (T/C)				# Mice Died
		Day 0	3	5	7	9	11	Day 5	7	9	11	
Control	0	26.5	-0.2	0	-0.2	0	+1.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0/15
Epothilone B	0.4 QDx6 <sup>b</sup>	27.1	-0.2	-0.6	-1.1	-3.4	-3.9	1.08	1.07	1.27	1.07	1/8
	0.8 QDx5 <sup>c</sup>	27.0	0	-0.8	-3.1	-4.7	-4.7	0.57	0.89	0.46	0.21	5/8
Desoxyepothilone B	10 QDx8	27.0	-0.7	-0.9	-1.1	-1.5	-0.3	0.23	0.22	0.51	0.28	0/6
	20 QD1-4,7-8	26.9	-1.3	-2.2	-1.3	-1.6	-0.8	0.59	0.63	0.58	0.33	0/6
Taxol	4 QDx8	26.7	+0.1	+0.2	+0.3	+0.4	+0.8	0.62	0.39	0.56	0.51	0/8
	6.5 QDx8	26.7	+0.1	+0.3	+0.3	+0.4	+1.7	0.19	0.43	0.20	0.54	0/8

- a. B16 melanoma cells  $1.2 \times 10^6$ /mouse was implanted S.C. on day 0. Daily treatments start on day 1 after inoculation. Number of mice in each group: Control, 15; Epothilone B, 8; Desoxythilone B, 5 and Taxol, 8. The average tumor volume of control group on day 5, 7, 9 and 11 were 16, 138, 436 and  $1207 \text{ mm}^3$ , respectively. See Figs. 44(a) and (b).
- b. One mouse died of toxicity on day 10.  
Five mice died of toxicity on day 8, 10, 11, 12. One moribund mouse was sacrificed for toxicological examinations on day 10.



**Table 13.** Therapeutic Effect of Desoxyepothilone B, Epothilone B, Taxol and Vinblastine in Nude Mice Bearing Human MX-1 Xenograft<sup>a</sup>.

Table 13. Therapeutic Effect of Desoxyepothilone B, Epothilone B, and Taxol on Tumor Growth in Mice												
Drug	Dose (mg/kg)	Average Body Weight Change (g)					Average Tumor Volume (T/C)					Note
		Day 7	11	13	15	17	Day 11	13	15	17		
Control		27.9	+0.8	+1.1	+1.9	+0.6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0/8 died	
Desoxyepothilone B	15	27.1	+0.8	+1.1	+1.6	+1.5	0.65	0.46	0.49	0.41	0/6 died 0/6 died (1/6 cured on day 35)	
	25 <sup>b</sup>	27.0	+0.4	+0.7	+1.0	+0.7	0.38	0.11	0.05	0.04		
Epothilone B	0.3	26.9	+0.5	+0.4	-0.3	-1.2	1.00	0.71	0.71	0.84	0/7 died 3/7 died	
	0.6 <sup>c</sup>	27.4	-0.3	-1.3	-2.1	-2.1	1.08	0.73	0.81	0.74		
Taxol	5	26.9	-0.1	+0.4	+1.1	+1.2	0.54	0.46	0.40	0.45	0/7 died 4/7 died	
	10 <sup>d</sup>	27.6	-2.7	-1.1	-0.3	+2.2	0.43	0.37	0.12	0.11		
Vinblastine	0.2	25.7	+0.6	+1.4	+2.3	+2.9	0.65	0.54	0.56	0.88	0/7 died 1/7 died	
	0.4 <sup>e</sup>	26.4	+0.8	+0.5	+1.9	+2.1	0.80	0.56	0.83	0.88		

- MX-1 tissue 50  $\mu$ l/mouse was implanted s.c. on day 0. Every other day i.p. treatments were given on day 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15. Number of mice in each group: Control, 8; Desoxyepothilone B, 6; Epothilone B, 7; Taxol, 7 and Vinblastine, 7. The average tumor volume of control group on day 11, 13, 15 and 17 were 386, 915, 1390 and 1903 mm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. See Fig. 45.
- One out of six mice with no detectable tumor on day 35.
- Three mice died of drug toxicity on day 17. Every other day i.p. treatments were given except day 15.
- Four mice died of drug toxicity on day 13, 13, 13, 15.
- One mouse died of drug toxicity on day 15.

Drug	Dose (mg/kg ip)	Hematology <sup>b</sup>				Chemistry <sup>b</sup>		
		WBC	Neutrophils (%)	Lymph (%)	RBC (10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	PLT (10 <sup>6</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	GOT (U/L)	GPT (U/L)
		Total (10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )						
Control		12.9	38	61	8.1	800 (n=4)	203	45 (n=4)
Desoxyepo- thilone B	25 and 35 <sup>c</sup>	11.8	48	48	8.4	700 (n=6)	296	55 (n=3)
Taxol	5 and 6 <sup>d</sup>	10.9	51	48	6.1	1083 (n=5)	438	79 (n=5)
Normal range <sup>c</sup>		6.91~12.9	8.25~40.8	62~90	10.2~12.0	190~340	260	138.7

- a. Minced MX-1 tumor tissue 50  $\mu$ l/mouse was implanted s.c. on day 0.
- b. All assays were determined on day 30; averaged values were given.
- c. Desoxyepoethilone B 25 mg/kg was given i.p. on day 7, 9, 11, 13, 15; 35mg/kg on day 17, 19, 23, 24, 25.
- d. Taxol 5mg/kg was given i.p. on day 7, 9, 11, 13, 15; 6mg/kg on day 17, 19, 23, 24, 25.
- e. Normal ranges are for wild type deer mice and C<sub>3</sub>/HeJ mice (obtained from clinical, biochemical and hematological Reference values in *Normal Experimental Animals*, Britjm Mitruka, ed., Masson Publishing USA, Inc., N.Y., 1977, and from *Clinical Chemistry of Laboratory Animals*, Weter F. Loeb, ed., Pergamon Press, 1989)

**Table 15.** Therapeutic Effect of Desoxyepothilone B, Taxol, Adriamycin, and Camptothecin in Nude Mice Bearing MDR Human MCF-7/Adr Tumor.

Drug	Dose (mg/kg)	Average Body Weight Change (g)						Average Tumor Volume (T/C)					
		Day 8	11	13	15	17		Day 11	13	15	17		Died
Control	0	25.0	+2.0	+2.6	+3.1	+3.7		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0/8
DesoxyEpoB	35	25.0	+0.3	+0.7	+0.6	+0.8		0.31	0.27	0.30	0.34		0/8
Taxol	6	25.3	+1.7	+1.8	+0.8	+0.9		0.57	0.66	0.85	0.90		0/8
	12	24.5	+0.7	-1.3	-2.4	0		0.50	0.51	0.32	0.40		3/6
Adriamycin	1.8	25.6	+0.2	-0.4	-0.6	-0.4		0.70	0.68	0.84	0.78		0/8
	3	24.6	+0.5	-1.5	-3.2	-1.6		0.66	0.83	0.57	0.53		3/6
Camptothecin	1.5	24.4	+1.1	+0.9	+1.7	+1.4		1.08	0.72	0.61	0.72		0/8
	3.0	24.5	-0.6	-0.4	-0.8	-0.9		0.95	0.76	0.61	0.43		0/6

- a. MCF-7/Adr cell  $3 \times 10^6$ /mouse was implanted s.c. on day 0. Every other day i.p. treatments were given on day 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16. The average tumor volume of control group on day 11, 13, 15 and 17 were 392, 919, 1499 and 2372mm<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

As evident from Table 15, desoxyepothilone B performs significantly better than taxol, vinblastine, adriamycin and camptothecin against MDR tumor xenografts (human mammary adenocarcinoma MCF-7/Adr xenografts). This drug-resistant tumor grows very aggressively and is refractory to taxol and adriamycin at half their lethal doses. Taxol at 6mg/kg i.p. Q2Dx5 reduced tumor size only 10% while adriamycin resulted in only a 22% reduction on day 17. Whereas, desoxyepothilone B at 35 mg/kg reduced tumor size by 66% on day 17 and yet showed no reduction in body weight or apparent toxicity. Even at the LD<sub>50</sub> dosage for taxol (12mg/kg) or adriamycin (3mg/kg), desoxyepothilone B still performed more effectively. By comparison, camptothecin at 1.5 and 3.0 mg/kg reduced tumor size by 28% and 57%, respectively. Overall, in comparison with the four important anticancer drugs in current use, *i.e.*, taxol, adriamycin, vinblastine and camptothecin, desoxyepothilone B showed superior chemotherapeutic effect against MDR xenografts.

**Table 16.** Extended Experiment of Desoxyepothilone B, Taxol in Nude Mice Bearing Human MX-1 Xenograft<sup>a</sup>

Drug	Dose (mg/kg)	Average Body Weight Change (g)						Tumor Disappearance				Average Tumor Disappear Duration (Day)		Died
		Day 8	20	22	24	26	28	Day 20	22	24	26	28		
Desoxyepo B	40	23.0	-1.7	-2.4	-2.4	-1.4	-1.2	2.10 <sup>b</sup>	2/10	3/10	5/10	5/10	44 (5/10)	0/10
Taxol	5	24.0	-1.6	-0.3	+0.1	-0.6	-0.4	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10		2/10
	10	No Extended Test						1/6 on day 16,					Reappear on day 38	2/6 <sub>(0/6)</sub>

- a. Extended experiment was going on after 5 times injection (on day 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16). Every other day i.p. treatments were given continuously: Desoxyepothilone B and Taxol on day 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26; Control group mice were sacrificed.
- b. In one of the mice, a tumor reappeared on day 20.

As evident from Table 16, extended treatment of nude mice bearing human MX-1 xenografts with desoxyepothilone B results in complete tumor disappearance, with no mortality in any test animals. In conclusion, treatment with desoxyepothilone B shows remarkable specificity with respect to tumor toxicity, but very low normal cell toxicity.

Table 17. Therapeutic Effects of Desoxyepothilone B, Taxol in Nude Mice Bearing MX-1 Xenograft.

Treatment	Schedule				# Died of toxicity 0/10
	8	10	12	14	16
<b>NTROL</b>					
nor Size	8	10	12	14	16
n)	19	78	151	372	739
	±2	±8	±15	±55	±123
				±184	±331
					Sacrificed (n = 10)
<b>DOXYEPOTHILONE B</b>					
se					
edule					
35mg/kg on day					
8	10	12	14	16	18
20	22	24	26	28	30
40mg/kg on day					
15	15	40	40	15	32
30	30	30	30	30	30
48	48	48	48	48	48
150	150	150	150	150	150
180	180	180	180	180	180
8	8	8	8	8	8
0	0	0	0	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	4
8	8	8	8	8	8
12	12	12	12	12	12
15	15	15	15	15	15
20	20	20	20	20	20
25	25	25	25	25	25
38	38	38	38	38	38
<b>TAXOL</b>					
se					
edule					
5mg/kg on day					
8	10	12	14	16	18
20	22	24	26	28	30
40mg/kg on day					
15	15	40	40	15	32
30	30	30	30	30	30
48	48	48	48	48	48
150	150	150	150	150	150
180	180	180	180	180	180
8	8	8	8	8	8
0	0	0	0	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	4
8	8	8	8	8	8
12	12	12	12	12	12
15	15	15	15	15	15
20	20	20	20	20	20
25	25	25	25	25	25
38	38	38	38	38	38
<b>NO TREATMENT</b>					
8	10	12	14	16	18
20	22	24	26	28	30
40mg/kg on day					
15	15	40	40	15	32
30	30	30	30	30	30
48	48	48	48	48	48
150	150	150	150	150	150
180	180	180	180	180	180
8	8	8	8	8	8
0	0	0	0	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	4
8	8	8	8	8	8
12	12	12	12	12	12
15	15	15	15	15	15
20	20	20	20	20	20
25	25	25	25	25	25
38	38	38	38	38	38
<b>Extended studies</b>					
8	10	12	14	16	18
20	22	24	26	28	30
40mg/kg on day					
15	15	40	40	15	32
30	30	30	30	30	30
48	48	48	48	48	48
150	150	150	150	150	150
180	180	180	180	180	180
8	8	8	8	8	8
0	0	0	0	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	4
8	8	8	8	8	8
12	12	12	12	12	12
15	15	15	15	15	15
20	20	20	20	20	20
25	25	25	25	25	25
38	38	38	38	38	38
<b>Extended observations</b>					
8	10	12	14	16	18
20	22	24	26	28	30
40mg/kg on day					
15	15	40	40	15	32
30	30	30	30	30	30
48	48	48	48	48	48
150	150	150	150	150	150
180	180	180	180	180	180
8	8	8	8	8	8
0	0	0	0	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	4
8	8	8	8	8	8
12	12	12	12	12	12
15	15	15	15	15	15
20	20	20	20	20	20
25	25	25	25	25	25
38	38	38	38	38	38
<b>Experiment ended</b>					
8	10	12	14	16	18
20	22	24	26	28	30
40mg/kg on day					
15	15	40	40	15	32
30	30	30	30	30	30
48	48	48	48	48	48
150	150	150	150	150	150
180	180	180	180	180	180
8	8	8	8	8	8
0	0	0	0	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	4
8	8	8	8	8	8
12	12	12	12	12	12
15	15	15	15	15	15
20	20	20	20	20	20
25	25	25	25	25	25
38	38	38	38	38	38

Sacrificed due to tumor burden

ble 18. Toxicity of Epothilone B and Desoxyepothilone B in normal nude mice

Group	Dose and Schedule (mg/kg)	Number of mice	Died
Control		4	0
Epothilone B <sup>a</sup>	0.6 QD x 4	8	8
Desoxyepothilone B	25 QD x 4	6	0

a. Mice died of toxicity on day, 5,6,6,7,7,7,7